

# **Limited Exterior Lead Paint Sampling Report**

# (Exterior XRF Inspection for Painting Purposes – All Buildings)

Fletcher Hills Elementary School, 2330 Center Place, El Cajon, Ca. 92020
Northmont Elementary School, 9405 Gregory Street, La Mesa, Ca. 91942
Murray Manor Elementary School, 8305 El Paso Street, La Mesa, Ca. 91942
Lemon Avenue Elementary School, 8787 Lemen Avenue, La Mesa, Ca. 91941
La Mesa Dale Elementary School, 4370 Parks Avenue, La Mesa, Ca. 91941
Rolando Elementary School, 6925 Tower Street, La Mesa, Ca. 91942
Maryland Elementary School, 5400 Maryland Avenue, La Mesa, Ca. 91942

# 6/5/2024

# **General Information**

Owner:

# La Mesa Spring Valley School District

4750 Date Street La Mesa, California, 91942

**Project Point of Contact:** Robert Cochran

Executive Director of Business Services

Report Prepared / Reviewed By:

# Western Environmental & Safety Technologies LLC (WEST)

2820 Carleton Street, #25, San Diego, California, 92106 *Phone:* (858) 271-1842 • *fax:* (858) 271-1856 • *email:* gowestdc@msn.com

Point of Contact for Western Environmental & Safety Technologies LLC:

### **David Christy, CAC**

Senior Partner - WEST

State of California Certified CAC# 92-0703, exp. 4/1/2023

CDPH Certified Lead Supervisor - S-5463

Tel: (858) 271-1842 (office)

Tel: (619) 571-3987 (cell)

2820 Carleton Street #25 • San Diego • California • 92106 phone (858) 271-1842 • fax (858) 271-1856 gowestdc@msn.com



#### **Executive Summary**

## **Sampling Date:**

6/5/2024 (Limited Exterior Lead Paint XRF Sampling)

#### **Services Complete:**

Conduct a limited (non-destructive) XRF lead paint sampling – exteriors of permanent buildings and relocatable Buildings – repaint project.

### **On-site Sampling:**

Lead Paint Testing (XRF Sampling) Completed by Allstate Services (report attached)

## **Findings:**

Fletcher Hills Elementary School: Lead Based Paint Was Found

Window Frames, Door Frames, Soffits, Window Sashes, Doors, Fascia's

Northmont Elementary School: No Lead Based Paint was found based on the attached sampling report

Murray Manor Elementary School: Lead Based Paint Was Found

Window Frames, Door Frames, Soffits, Window Sashes, Doors, Fascia's, Overhang Posts

Lemon Avenue Elementary School: Lead Based Paint Was Found

Window Frames, Soffits, Window Sashes, Fascia's

La Mesa Dale Elementary School: Lead Based Paint Was Found

Window Frames, Door Frames, Soffits, Window Sashes, Doors, Fascia's,

Rolando Elementary School: Lead Based Paint Was Found

Walls, Window Frames, Door Frames, Soffits, Window Sashes, Doors,

Maryland Elementary School: No Lead Based Paint was found based on the attached sampling report

### **Exterior Lead Paint XRF Survey**

CAL-OSHA Regulations (Title 8 CCR Section 1532.1 and 29 CFR 1926.62) apply to all construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead, and therefore may be applicable to renovation or demolition projects involving paints with any concentration of lead. When conducting construction activities, **which disturb lead in any amount or create an exposure to workers**, the employer is required to provide worker protection and conduct exposure assessments. All California employers should consult Cal-OSHA Regulations at Title 8, 1532.1, "Lead in Construction" standards for complete requirements.

Since the building listed above is undergoing renovation / demolition, <u>all construction personnel</u> performing the construction work should be properly trained in lead-related construction. California regulations define lead-related construction work as, "Construction, alteration, painting, demolition, salvage, renovation, repair, or maintenance of any residential, public or commercial building, including preparation and cleanup, which, by using or disturbing lead containing material or soil, may result in significant exposure of individuals to lead."

To also protect against this risk of lead exposure, on April 22, 2008, EPA issued the Renovation, Repair and Painting Rule. It requires that firms performing renovation, repair, and painting projects that disturb lead-based paint in pre-1978 homes, child care facilities and schools be certified by EPA and that they use certified renovators who are trained by EPA-approved training providers to follow lead-safe work practices. Individuals can become certified renovators by taking an eight-hour training course from an EPA-approved training provider.

Lead based paint (LBP) sampling and identification was conducted as part of this scope of work.



# Attachment One Limited Lead Paint Exterior Sampling Report



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

# LEAD-BASED PAINT TESTING REPORT

(a)

# FLETCHER HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2330 CENTER PLACE EL CAJON, CALIFORNIA 92020

# PREPARED FOR: MR. DAVE CHRISTY WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL & SAFETY TECHNOLOGY 2825 CARLETON STREET, #25 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92106

PREPARED BY:
STACEY J. MILANO
INSPECTOR/ASSESSOR
CERTIFICATION #LRC-00000083

**JUNE 10, 2024** 

#### Professional Environmental Consulting and Training Asbestos Lead Mold/Healthy Homes



Working for a clean environment
1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220
San Diego, CA 92108
(619) 255-1052
info@allstate-services.com
www.allstate-services.com

June 10, 2024

Mr. David Christy Western Environmental Services 2825 Carleton Street, #25 San Diego, California 92106

RE: Lead-based paint testing at Fletcher Hills Elementary School, 2330 Center Place, El

Cajon, California 92020

Dear Mr. Christy:

In accordance with your request and authorization, Allstate Services LLC. conducted lead-based paint testing at Fletcher Hills Elementary School located at 2330 Center Place in El Cajon, California on June 5, 2024. Nicholas Milano, a California Certified Lead Sampling Technician, under the direction of Stacey J. Milano, a California Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor, conducted the on-site work. Please note that only selected areas were tested at this time.

If you need any further assistance after reviewing your report, please do not hesitate to contact me. Allstate Services LLC remains available to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

Stacey J. Milano

Stacey Jmilano

CDPH Inspector/Assessor #LRC-00000083

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Desci</u>	<u>ription</u>	Page
1.0	Testing Methodology	. 1
2.0	Building Description	. 2
3.0	Lead-Based Paint Findings	. 2
4.0	California State Requirements	2
5.0	Recommendations	2
6.0	OSHA Compliance	. 4

- Appendices
  A. Positive Summary Report
  B. Detailed XRF Testing Results
  C. Inspector/Assessor Certifications
  D. CDPH Form 8552 Lead Hazard Evaluation Report

#### 1.0 TESTING METHODOLOGY

Lead-based paint testing was conducted using portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrum analyzer, SciAps, X-550 pb. The X-550 pb is calibrated to measure the L-shell x-ray emissions of lead. The X-550 pb offers two modes of operations; the "quick" mode which is the preferred mode for most lead testing and the "timed" mode for industrial lead paint testing.

Lead-based paint testing was conducted in accordance with *Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Division 1, Chapter 8, Accreditation, Certification, and Work Practice in Lead Related Construction, Section 36000* and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Developments *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, Chapter 7 Lead-Based Paint Inspections*, as published in June 1995 and revised in 1997.

The purpose of this inspection is to identify surfaces which contain lead-based paint as per California regulations, the *HUD Guidelines and section 403 of the Toxic Substances Control Act*.

The state of California, HUD and the EPA currently define lead-based paint as a paint or other surface coating which contains lead equal to or greater than 1.0 milligrams of lead per square centimeter of surface area (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

XRF readings were taken using the "Quick" mode of the X-550 pb. The "Quick" mode measurements have no predetermined testing length, and automatically adjust to account for various types of substrates and materials densities. The precision of the XRF readings is proportional to the square root of the number of x-rays counted by the scanner. The longer the test, the higher the level of precision as compared against the set threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

In the "quick" mode, the X-550 pb tests until a result is indicated as either positive or negative, compared to the threshold level based on the current precision of the test. Correction for paint matrix and substrate effects is performed automatically. The correction function is based on measurements performed by the manufacturer with NIST paint film standards laid over a variety of substrates typically encountered in construction.

Based on the XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS) jointly released by HUD and EPA (effective February 1, 2022), there is no inconclusive range and the Threshold is 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Results are classified as positive if they are at or greater than the threshold as listed. Results are classified as negative if they are less than the listed threshold. No substrate correction is required for testing using the "Quick" mode.

XRF readings were made on testing combinations in all room equivalents in an effort to test typical materials which are representative of the room equivalent. Testing combinations were tested non-destructively by holding the X-550 pb against the surface being tested. At each XRF sample location the X-550 pb shutter is opened, and one reading was made using the "Quick" testing mode. Results of each test were read from the digital display of the instrument console and recorded on the Detailed XRF Testing Results attached in Appendix B.

To ensure that the XRF equipment was working properly, various quality control tests were performed before, during and after the on-site work. At the beginning of the work day, three start up validation measurements were made in the "Quick" mode, using the calibration check standard associated with the particular X-550 pb that was used. This painted standard contains a known quantity of lead and allows the XRF operator to determine whether the instrument is functioning within acceptable tolerance ranges for accuracy and precision, as determined by the manufacturer.

In addition to the three starts up tests, calibration readings were taken on the red 1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Standard Reference Material (SRM) paint film, developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Results of each reading, along with computed readings averages were recorded on the XRF Calibration Form, and compared against the calibration tolerance range defined the X-550 pb PCS. This calibration check was also performed after four hours and at the end of the day. The quality control tests taken during testing at the subject property were within the acceptable performance range prescribed by the PCS and by the XRF equipment manufacturer. Documentation of the quality control calibration check is included in Appendix B, following the detailed testing data.

The XRF testing orientation and labeling are according to the HUD convention. The "A" side was initially assigned to the direction of the street (front of the house or entrance). Sides "B", "C", and "D" were assigned clockwise from the "A" side, starting to the left facing the house. The rooms follow the same orientation as the exterior and are in alignment with the exterior labels.

#### 2.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The property tested is a school with several buildings. The building exteriors consist of stucco and wood walls with metal and wood door and window systems. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time, in preparation for upcoming painting activities.

#### 3.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT FINDINGS

Lead-based paint was found at or above the threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm² on the following components:

• Exterior window frames, doors, soffit, and fascia

Please see Appendix A – Positive Summary Report for a complete list of positive components and specific locations.

Disruption of surfaces and removal of deteriorated paint should be carried out using approved lead-safe work practices. EPA's Renovation, Repair and Painting (RRP) regulations apply when disrupting surface area above de-minimis levels (6 square feet interior or 20 square feet exterior). According to California's Title 17 Regulations, lead-safe work practices are mandatory when disrupting any amount of lead-based paint.

If surfaces containing lead-based paint become deteriorated, they should be restored to an intact condition by surface preparation and painting in order to comply California Health and Safety Code 17920.10.

Note that if the intent is to permanently reduce a lead, the work would be categorized as abatement and an appropriately certified lead abatement contractor should be used. However, if the intent of the work were to improve the appearance of the surfaces, renovation, repairs or regular maintenance, then the work may be carried out by EPA certified renovators.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

## 4.0 CALIFORNIA STATE REQUIREMENTS

Allstate Services is required under California regulations (Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8) to notify California Department of Public Health that a lead hazard evaluation survey was conducted at the subject property.

Please see Appendix D for CDPH Form 8552, Lead Hazard Evaluation Report.

#### 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

If this building undergoes renovation in the future, personnel performing the construction work should be properly trained in lead-related construction. California regulations define lead-related construction work as, "Construction, alteration, painting, demolition, salvage, renovation, repair, or maintenance of any residential, public or commercial building, including preparation and cleanup, which, by using or disturbing lead containing material or soil, may result in significant exposure of individuals to lead."

California has a certification process for lead related construction workers. To receive a list of certified individuals, you may contact the Lead Accreditation and Certification Unit Hotline at (800) 597-5323.

There are different methods of addressing lead hazards. These methods include:

<u>Abatement</u> -- A measure or set of measures designed to permanently eliminate lead-based paint hazards or lead-based paint. There are different methods of abatement:

Replacement: Removing the old component and installing a new non-lead

containing component. Replacement is best suited for components that are easily removed. This includes doors,

windows, trim, etc.

**Enclosure**: Covering a surface with a durable mechanically affixed,

dust tight material, such as drywall, paneling, aluminum siding, etc. Enclosure is best used on walls, ceilings,

floors, and some exterior components.

Removal: Removing the paint from the substrate. This is

accomplished by wet scraping, using power tools with special HEPA vacuum attachments, heat guns, and

chemical stripping either on or off site. Paint removal is best suited when a component is to be preserved or when a component cannot be easily replaced or enclosed. Leadbased paint encapsulant products must have a minimum of twenty years warranty.

**Encapsulation**:

The process that makes lead-based paint inaccessible by providing a barrier between the lead-based paint and the environment. This barrier is formed using a liquid applied coating or an adhesive bonded covering material. Encapsulation is best used on walls and ceilings. Please note that ordinary lead-free paint is not considered an encapsulation.

Interim Controls --A set of measures designed to temporarily reduce human exposure or likely exposure to lead-based paint hazards. Interim controls include specialized cleaning, repairs, maintenance, painting, and temporary containment, ongoing monitoring of lead-based paint hazards or potential hazards and the establishment and operation of management and resident education programs. Interim controls should be used only if full abatement is not feasible. Reducing the hazards can be accomplished by simply keeping the painted surfaces intact and through specialized cleaning methods. If abatement cannot take place soon, interim controls should be implemented and maintained until full abatement can be made.

As previously stated, any activities involving lead hazard control and/or lead abatement must be performed by certified individuals.

#### 6.0 OSHA COMPLIANCE

OSHA Regulations (Title 8 CCR Section 1532.1 and 29 CFR 1926.62) apply to all construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead, and therefore may be applicable to renovation or demolition projects involving paints with any concentration of lead.

There are many other building materials, which may contain lead in the average building. When conducting construction activities, which disturb lead in any amount or create an exposure to workers, the employer is required to provide worker protection and conduct exposure assessments. All employers should consult Federal OSHA Regulations at 29 CFR 1926.62 and Cal-OSHA Regulations at Title 8, 1532.1, "Lead in Construction" standards for complete requirements.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

# APPENDIX A POSITIVE SUMMARY REPORT

# POSITIVE XRF SUMMARY REPORT

Fletcher Hills Elementary School 2330 Center Place, El Cajon, California 92020

				2000 00.1110.1	lace, Li Gajori, Ga			Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
8	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
15	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	С	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.3	Positive	2 Each	
16	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	2 Each	
18	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.1	Positive	12 Each	
20	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
29	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	12 Each	
39	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	В	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	12 Each	
40	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
51	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
62	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	12 Each	
93	Exterior	Overhang Throughout Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.1	Positive	1,200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
**Quantity es	stimation	s of leaded materials are provid	ed for budget	considerations only and sl	hould be verified on:	site by bidder	S.				

# APPENDIX B DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

# DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS Fletcher Hills Elementary School

2330 Center Place, El Cajon, California 92020

								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm <sup>2</sup> )	Results	Quantity	Comments
1		Building 1 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
2	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
3	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
4	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
5	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
6	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
7	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.4	Negative		
8	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
9		Building 1 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
10		Building 1 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
11		Building 2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
12		Building 2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
13		Building 2 Exterior	C	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
14		Building 2 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
15		Building 2 Exterior	C	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.3	Positive	2 Each	
16		Building 2 Exterior	C	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	2 Each	
17		Building 2 Exterior	В	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
18		Building 2 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.1	Positive	12 Each	
19		Building 2 Exterior	В	Gutter	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative	12 24011	
20		Building 2 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
21		Building 2 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.9	Negative	20011	
22		Building 3 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
23		Building 3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
24		Building 3 Exterior	C	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
25		Building 3 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
26		Building 3 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
27		Building 3 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
28		Building 3 Exterior	В	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
29		Building 3 Exterior	В	Window Gash Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	12 Each	
30		Building 3 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative	12 Lucii	
31		Building 3 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
32		Building 3 Exterior	В	Gutter	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
33		Building 4 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
34		Building 4 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
35		Building 4 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
36		Building 4 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
37		Building 4 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
38		Building 4 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
39		Building 4 Exterior	В	Window Sash	Wood		Intact	1.3	Positive	12 Each	
40		Building 4 Exterior	В		Wood	Beige			-	12 Each	
40		Building 4 Exterior  Building 4 Exterior	В	Window Frame		Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	IZ Eacii	
41			В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
		Building 4 Exterior		Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
43		Building 4 Exterior	В	Gutter	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
44	⊨xterior	Building 5 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		

# DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

Fletcher Hills Elementary School 2330 Center Place, El Cajon, California 92020

								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm <sup>2</sup> )	Results	Quantity	Comments
45	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative	•	
46	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
47	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
48	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
49	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
50	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
51	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
52	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
53	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
54	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Gutter	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
55	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
56	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
57		Building 6 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
58	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
59	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
60	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
61	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
62		Building 6 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	12 Each	
63		Building 6 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
64		Building 6 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
65		Building 6 Exterior	В	Gutter	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
66		Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
67		Building P-1 Exterior	В	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
68		Building P-1 Exterior	С	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
69	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
70	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
71	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
72	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.1	Negative		
73		Building P-1 Exterior	В	Soffit	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
74	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
75	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
76	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
77	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
78	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
79	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
80		Building P-2 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
81	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
82		Building P-2 Exterior	D	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
83		Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
84		Building P-3 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
85		Building P-3 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
86		Building P-3 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
87	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
88		Building P-3 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

# DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS Fletcher Hills Elementary School

2330 Center Place, El Cajon, California 92020

Sample			Side Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	Lead (mg/ cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
89	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
90	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
91	Exterior	Overhang Throughout Exterior	С	Post	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.3	Negative		
92	Exterior	Overhang Throughout Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	0.9	Negative		
93	Exterior	Overhang Throughout Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.1	Positive	1,200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
94	Exterior	Overhang Throughout Exterior	С	Gutter	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.2	Negative		

## ALLSTATE SERVICES LLC. XRF CALIBRATION FORM

Address:	Fletcher Hills Elementary School, 2330 Center Place El Cajon, California 92020
Device: _	SciAps X-550
Date:	June 5, 2024
Inspector:	Nicholas Milano/Stacey J. Milano

# Calibration Check Tolerance Used: <u>0.8 mg/cm² - 1.2 mg/cm² (Inclusive)</u> **Use Level III (1.02 mg/cm²) NIST SRM Paint film**

Time: 9:06 a.m.

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Time: 4:30 p.m.

## **First Calibration Check**

 1st Reading
 2nd Reading
 3rd Reading
 1st Average

 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0

## **Second Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

# **Third Calibration Check (If Needed)**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

# APPENDIX C INSPECTOR CERTIFICATIONS



# STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



# LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

**CERTIFICATE TYPE:** 

**NUMBER:** 

**EXPIRATION DATE:** 

2

Lead Sampling Technician

LRC-00004942

4/10/2025

Nicholas Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



# LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

#### INDIVIDUAL:



## CERTIFICATE TYPE:

Lead Project Monitor Lead Project Designer Lead Inspector/Assessor Lead Supervisor

#### NUMBER:

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 5/3/2025 LRC-00000085 5/3/2025 LRC-00000084 5/3/2025 LRC-00000083

LRC-00000082

5/3/2025

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD

# APPENDIX D CDPH FORM 8552 - LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT

# **LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT**

Section 1 — Date of Lead Hazard Evaluation 6/5/2024	4		
Section 2 — Type of Lead Hazard Evaluation (Check of	one box only)		
Lead Inspection Risk assessment Cle	earance Inspection 🗸 Ot	her (specify) Limited Lead	Testing
Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evaluation	Nas Conducted		
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	County	Zip Code
Fletcher Hills Elementary School, 2330 Center Place	e El Cajon	San Diego	92020
Construction date (year) Type of structure of structure		Children living in structure	?
Multi-unit building	✓ School or daycare	Yes Vo	
Prior to 1978 Single family dwelling	Other	Don't Know	
Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agency,	list contact person)		
Name	Te	elephone number	
Contact: Western Environmental & Safety Tech.	C/O Mr. Dave Christy 8	358-271-1842	
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	State	Zip Code
2825 Carleton Street, #25	San Diego	California	92106
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (chec	k all that apply)		
Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Eval  Name  Stacey J. Milano  Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]  1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220  CDPH certification number  LRC-00000083  Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals contains the contains and contains a conta	City San Diego gnature  Stacsy	State California  Milano applicable)	Zip Code 92108 Date 6/10/24
Nicholas Milano, Lead Sampling Tech Section 7 – Attachments	nnician #LRC-0000	4942	



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

# LEAD-BASED PAINT TESTING REPORT

# LA MESA DALE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 4370 PARKS AVENUE LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 91941

# PREPARED FOR: MR. DAVE CHRISTY WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL & SAFETY TECHNOLOGY 2825 CARLETON STREET, #25 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92106

PREPARED BY:
STACEY J. MILANO
INSPECTOR/ASSESSOR
CERTIFICATION #LRC-00000083

**JUNE 10, 2024** 

#### Professional Environmental Consulting and Training Asbestos Lead Mold/Healthy Homes



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

June 10, 2024

Mr. David Christy Western Environmental Services 2825 Carleton Street, #25 San Diego, California 92106

RE: Lead-based paint testing at La Mesa Dale Elementary School, 4370 Parks Avenue, La

Mesa, California 91941

Dear Mr. Christy:

In accordance with your request and authorization, Allstate Services LLC. conducted lead-based paint testing at La Mesa Dale Elementary School located at 4370 Parks Avenue in La Mesa, California on June 5, 2024. Nicholas Milano, a California Certified Lead Sampling Technician, under the direction of Stacey J. Milano, a California Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor, conducted the on-site work. Please note that only selected areas were tested at this time.

If you need any further assistance after reviewing your report, please do not hesitate to contact me. Allstate Services LLC remains available to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

Stacey J. Milano

Stacey Jmilano

CDPH Inspector/Assessor #LRC-00000083

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Desci	<u>Paription</u> Paription	<u>ige</u>
1.0	Testing Methodology	1
2.0	Building Description	2
3.0	Lead-Based Paint Findings	2
4.0	California State Requirements	2
5.0	Recommendations	2
6.0	OSHA Compliance	4

- Appendices
  A. Positive Summary Report
  B. Detailed XRF Testing Results
  C. Inspector/Assessor Certifications
  D. CDPH Form 8552 Lead Hazard Evaluation Report

#### 1.0 TESTING METHODOLOGY

Lead-based paint testing was conducted using portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrum analyzer, SciAps, X-550 pb. The X-550 pb is calibrated to measure the L-shell x-ray emissions of lead. The X-550 pb offers two modes of operations; the "quick" mode which is the preferred mode for most lead testing and the "timed" mode for industrial lead paint testing.

Lead-based paint testing was conducted in accordance with *Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Division 1, Chapter 8, Accreditation, Certification, and Work Practice in Lead Related Construction, Section 36000* and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Developments *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, Chapter 7 Lead-Based Paint Inspections*, as published in June 1995 and revised in 1997.

The purpose of this inspection is to identify surfaces which contain lead-based paint as per California regulations, the *HUD Guidelines and section 403 of the Toxic Substances Control Act*.

The state of California, HUD and the EPA currently define lead-based paint as a paint or other surface coating which contains lead equal to or greater than 1.0 milligrams of lead per square centimeter of surface area (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

XRF readings were taken using the "Quick" mode of the X-550 pb. The "Quick" mode measurements have no predetermined testing length, and automatically adjust to account for various types of substrates and materials densities. The precision of the XRF readings is proportional to the square root of the number of x-rays counted by the scanner. The longer the test, the higher the level of precision as compared against the set threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

In the "quick" mode, the X-550 pb tests until a result is indicated as either positive or negative, compared to the threshold level based on the current precision of the test. Correction for paint matrix and substrate effects is performed automatically. The correction function is based on measurements performed by the manufacturer with NIST paint film standards laid over a variety of substrates typically encountered in construction.

Based on the XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS) jointly released by HUD and EPA (effective February 1, 2022), there is no inconclusive range and the Threshold is 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Results are classified as positive if they are at or greater than the threshold as listed. Results are classified as negative if they are less than the listed threshold. No substrate correction is required for testing using the "Quick" mode.

XRF readings were made on testing combinations in all room equivalents in an effort to test typical materials which are representative of the room equivalent. Testing combinations were tested non-destructively by holding the X-550 pb against the surface being tested. At each XRF sample location the X-550 pb shutter is opened, and one reading was made using the "Quick" testing mode. Results of each test were read from the digital display of the instrument console and recorded on the Detailed XRF Testing Results attached in Appendix B.

To ensure that the XRF equipment was working properly, various quality control tests were performed before, during and after the on-site work. At the beginning of the work day, three start up validation measurements were made in the "Quick" mode, using the calibration check standard associated with the particular X-550 pb that was used. This painted standard contains a known quantity of lead and allows the XRF operator to determine whether the instrument is functioning within acceptable tolerance ranges for accuracy and precision, as determined by the manufacturer.

In addition to the three starts up tests, calibration readings were taken on the red 1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Standard Reference Material (SRM) paint film, developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Results of each reading, along with computed readings averages were recorded on the XRF Calibration Form, and compared against the calibration tolerance range defined the X-550 pb PCS. This calibration check was also performed after four hours and at the end of the day. The quality control tests taken during testing at the subject property were within the acceptable performance range prescribed by the PCS and by the XRF equipment manufacturer. Documentation of the quality control calibration check is included in Appendix B, following the detailed testing data.

The XRF testing orientation and labeling are according to the HUD convention. The "A" side was initially assigned to the direction of the street (front of the house or entrance). Sides "B", "C", and "D" were assigned clockwise from the "A" side, starting to the left facing the house. The rooms follow the same orientation as the exterior and are in alignment with the exterior labels.

#### 2.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The property tested is a school with several buildings. The building exteriors consist of stucco and wood walls with metal and wood door and window systems. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time, in preparation for upcoming painting activities.

#### 3.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT FINDINGS

Lead-based paint was found at or above the threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm² on the following components:

• Exterior window frames and sashes, doors, soffit, and fascia

Please see Appendix A – Positive Summary Report for a complete list of positive components and specific locations.

Disruption of surfaces and removal of deteriorated paint should be carried out using approved lead-safe work practices. EPA's Renovation, Repair and Painting (RRP) regulations apply when disrupting surface area above de-minimis levels (6 square feet interior or 20 square feet exterior). According to California's Title 17 Regulations, lead-safe work practices are mandatory when disrupting any amount of lead-based paint.

If surfaces containing lead-based paint become deteriorated, they should be restored to an intact condition by surface preparation and painting in order to comply California Health and Safety Code 17920.10.

Note that if the intent is to permanently reduce a lead, the work would be categorized as abatement and an appropriately certified lead abatement contractor should be used. However, if the intent of the work were to improve the appearance of the surfaces, renovation, repairs or regular maintenance, then the work may be carried out by EPA certified renovators.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

## 4.0 CALIFORNIA STATE REQUIREMENTS

Allstate Services is required under California regulations (Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8) to notify California Department of Public Health that a lead hazard evaluation survey was conducted at the subject property.

Please see Appendix D for CDPH Form 8552, Lead Hazard Evaluation Report.

#### 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

If this building undergoes renovation in the future, personnel performing the construction work should be properly trained in lead-related construction. California regulations define lead-related construction work as, "Construction, alteration, painting, demolition, salvage, renovation, repair, or maintenance of any residential, public or commercial building, including preparation and cleanup, which, by using or disturbing lead containing material or soil, may result in significant exposure of individuals to lead."

California has a certification process for lead related construction workers. To receive a list of certified individuals, you may contact the Lead Accreditation and Certification Unit Hotline at (800) 597-5323.

There are different methods of addressing lead hazards. These methods include:

<u>Abatement</u> -- A measure or set of measures designed to permanently eliminate lead-based paint hazards or lead-based paint. There are different methods of abatement:

Replacement: Removing the old component and installing a new non-lead

containing component. Replacement is best suited for components that are easily removed. This includes doors,

windows, trim, etc.

**Enclosure**: Covering a surface with a durable mechanically affixed,

dust tight material, such as drywall, paneling, aluminum siding, etc. Enclosure is best used on walls, ceilings,

floors, and some exterior components.

Removal: Removing the paint from the substrate. This is

accomplished by wet scraping, using power tools with special HEPA vacuum attachments, heat guns, and

chemical stripping either on or off site. Paint removal is best suited when a component is to be preserved or when a component cannot be easily replaced or enclosed. Leadbased paint encapsulant products must have a minimum of twenty years warranty.

**Encapsulation**:

The process that makes lead-based paint inaccessible by providing a barrier between the lead-based paint and the environment. This barrier is formed using a liquid applied coating or an adhesive bonded covering material. Encapsulation is best used on walls and ceilings. Please note that ordinary lead-free paint is not considered an encapsulation.

Interim Controls --A set of measures designed to temporarily reduce human exposure or likely exposure to lead-based paint hazards. Interim controls include specialized cleaning, repairs, maintenance, painting, and temporary containment, ongoing monitoring of lead-based paint hazards or potential hazards and the establishment and operation of management and resident education programs. Interim controls should be used only if full abatement is not feasible. Reducing the hazards can be accomplished by simply keeping the painted surfaces intact and through specialized cleaning methods. If abatement cannot take place soon, interim controls should be implemented and maintained until full abatement can be made.

As previously stated, any activities involving lead hazard control and/or lead abatement must be performed by certified individuals.

#### 6.0 OSHA COMPLIANCE

OSHA Regulations (Title 8 CCR Section 1532.1 and 29 CFR 1926.62) apply to all construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead, and therefore may be applicable to renovation or demolition projects involving paints with any concentration of lead.

There are many other building materials, which may contain lead in the average building. When conducting construction activities, which disturb lead in any amount or create an exposure to workers, the employer is required to provide worker protection and conduct exposure assessments. All employers should consult Federal OSHA Regulations at 29 CFR 1926.62 and Cal-OSHA Regulations at Title 8, 1532.1, "Lead in Construction" standards for complete requirements.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

# APPENDIX A POSITIVE SUMMARY REPORT

# POSITIVE XRF SUMMARY REPORT

La Mesa Dale Elementary School 4370 Parks Avenue, La Mesa, California 91941

		Room	Side					Lead (mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
7	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	10 Each	
8	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	4.1	Positive	100 Each	
10	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.1	Positive	400 LF	
18	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	56 Each	
19	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
27	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	1.1	Positive	2 Each	
28	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	15 Each	
30	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.1	Positive	300 LF	
35	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
36	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	8 Each	
40	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.7	Positive	350 LF	
50	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	250 LF	
55	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.7	Positive	4 Each	
56	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
57	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.1	Positive	28 Each	
59	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	400 LF	
Quantity es	stimation	s of leaded materials are prov	ided for budge	considerations only and s	hould be verified ons	ite by bidder	S.				

# APPENDIX B DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

# DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS La Mesa Dale Elementary School

4370 Parks Avenue, La Mesa, California 91941

					l la Mesa, o			Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
1		Building 1 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative	•	
2	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
3	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
4	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
5	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
6	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.1	Negative		
7	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	10 Each	
8	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	4.1	Positive	100 Each	
9	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
10	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.1	Positive	400 LF	
11	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
12	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
13		Building 2 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
14		Building 2 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
15	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.9	Negative		
16		Building 2 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.7	Negative		
17		Building 2 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
18		Building 2 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	56 Each	
19		Building 2 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
20		Building 2 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
21		Building 3 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
22		Building 3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
23		Building 3 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
24		Building 3 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
25		Building 3 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
26		Building 3 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
27		Building 3 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	1.1	Positive	2 Each	
28		Building 3 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	15 Each	
29		Building 3 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	Brown	Intact	0.0	Negative	-	
30		Building 3 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.1	Positive	300 LF	
31		Building 4 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
32		Building 4 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
33		Building 4 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
34		Building 4 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
35		Building 4 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
36		Building 4 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	8 Each	
37		Building 4 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
38		Building 4 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.7	Negative		
39		Building 4 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
40		Building 4 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.7	Positive	350 LF	
41		Building 5 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
42		Building 5 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
43		Building 5 Exterior	C	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
44		Building 5 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		

# DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

La Mesa Dale Elementary School 4370 Parks Avenue, La Mesa, California 91941

					enue, La Mesa, Ca 			Lead			
		D	O: da								
		Room	Side	_				(mg/			
Sample		Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
45		Building 5 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.9	Negative		
46		Building 5 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	6	Negative		
47		Building 5 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
48		Building 5 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
49		Building 5 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
50		Building 5 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	250 LF	
51		Building 6 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
52		Building 6 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
53		Building 6 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
54		Building 6 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
55		Building 6 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.7	Positive	4 Each	
56	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
57		Building 6 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.1	Positive	28 Each	
58	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
59	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	400 LF	
60	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
61		Building P-1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
62	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
63		Building P-1 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
64	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.4	Negative		
65	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
66	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
67	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
68	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
69	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
70	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
71	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
72	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
73	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
74	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
75		Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
76	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
77		Building P-3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
78	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
79	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
80	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
81		Building P-3 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
82	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
83	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
84	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
85	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
86	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
87	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
88		Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

# DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS La Mesa Dale Elementary School

4370 Parks Avenue, La Mesa, California 91941

		_						Lead			
Sample	Area	Room Equivalent	Side Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	(mg/ cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
89	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
90	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
91	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
92	Exterior	Building P-5/P-6 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
93	Exterior	Building P-5/P-6 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
94	Exterior	Building P-5/P-6 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
95	Exterior	Building P-5/P-6 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
96	Exterior	Building P-5/P-6 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
97	Exterior	Building P-5/P-6 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
98	Exterior	Building P-5/P-6 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
99	Exterior	Building P-5/P-6 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
100	Exterior	Building P-7 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
101	Exterior	Building P-7 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
102	Exterior	Building P-7 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
103	Exterior	Building P-7 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
104	Exterior	Building P-7 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
105	Exterior	Building P-7 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
106	Exterior	Building P-7 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
107	Exterior	Building P-7 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

# ALLSTATE SERVICES LLC. XRF CALIBRATION FORM

Address:	La Mesa Dale Elementary School, 4370 Parks Avenue La Mesa, California 91941
Device: _	SciAps X-550
_	
Date:	June 5, 2024
<b>T</b> .	N' 1 1 N' 1 (G. 1 N' 1
Inspector:	Nicholas Milano/Stacey J. Milano

# Calibration Check Tolerance Used: <u>0.8 mg/cm² - 1.2 mg/cm² (Inclusive)</u> **Use Level III (1.02 mg/cm²) NIST SRM Paint film**

Time: 9:06 a.m.

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Time: 4:30 p.m.

## **First Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Readin	g 2 <sup>nd</sup> R	Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	1st Average
1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0

## **Second Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

# **Third Calibration Check (If Needed)**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

## APPENDIX C INSPECTOR CERTIFICATIONS



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

**CERTIFICATE TYPE:** 

**NUMBER:** 

**EXPIRATION DATE:** 

2

Lead Sampling Technician

LRC-00004942

4/10/2025

Nicholas Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

#### INDIVIDUAL:



CERTIFICATE TYPE:

Lead Project Monitor Lead Project Designer Lead Inspector/Assessor Lead Supervisor

NUMBER:

EXPIRATION DATE:

LRC-00000085 LRC-00000084 5/3/2025 5/3/2025

LRC-00000083

5/3/2025

LRC-00000082

5/3/2025

Stacey Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD

# APPENDIX D CDPH FORM 8552 - LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT

#### **LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT**

Section 1 — Date of Lead Hazard Evaluation 6/5/2	2024				
Section 2 — Type of Lead Hazard Evaluation (Chec			Othe	r (specify) Limited Lead 1	Festing Festing
Lead inspection This assessment	<u> </u>	arance inspection (*)	Jui 16	(specify)	
Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evaluat	ion \	Was Conducted			
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]		City		County	Zip Code
La Mesa Dale Elementary School, 4370 Parks Aver	nue	La Mesa		San Diego	91941
Construction date (year) Type of structure of structure		Cohool or dovers		Children living in structure?	
Prior to 1978 Multi-unit building Single family dwelling	g	✓ School or daycare  Other		Yes ✓ No Don't Know	
Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agend	cy, lis	st contact person)			
Name			Tele	phone number	
Contact: Western Environmental & Safety Tech	h. C	/O Mr. Dave Christy	858	8-271-1842	
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]		City		State	Zip Code
2825 Carleton Street, #25		San Diego		California	92106
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (ch	neck	all that apply)			
No lead-based paint detected ✓ Intact lea	ıd-ba	sed paint detected		Deteriorated lead-base	d paint detected
No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated	dust	found Lead-contam	ninat	ed soil found Other	
Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Ev	valua	ation			
Name			Tele	phone number	
Stacey J. Milano			61	9-255-1052	
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]		City		State	Zip Code
1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220		San Diego		California	92108
CDPH certification number	Sign	ature	_		Date
LRC-0000083		Stacey C	<u>)</u> . '	Milano	6/10/24
Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals	s con	ducting sampling or testing (	if ap	p <b>l</b> icable)	
Nicholas Milano, Lead Sampling Te	chi	nician #LRC-000	04	942	
Section 7 — Attachments					
A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indice lead-based paint;     B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedu C. All data collected, including quality control data, lab	ure u	sed;		·	
First copy and attachments retained by inspector		Third copy only (no at	ttach	ments) mailed or faxed to:	
Second copy and attachments retained by owner			oning way,	Prevention Branch Reports Building P, Third Floor	S



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

#### LEAD-BASED PAINT TESTING REPORT

(a)

### LEMON AVENUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 8787 LEMEN AVENUE LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 91941

# PREPARED FOR: MR. DAVE CHRISTY WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL & SAFETY TECHNOLOGY 2825 CARLETON STREET, #25 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92106

PREPARED BY:
STACEY J. MILANO
INSPECTOR/ASSESSOR
CERTIFICATION #LRC-00000083

**JUNE 10, 2024** 

#### Professional Environmental Consulting and Training Asbestos Lead Mold/Healthy Homes



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

June 10, 2024

Mr. David Christy Western Environmental Services 2825 Carleton Street, #25 San Diego, California 92106

RE: Lead-based paint testing at Lemon Avenue Elementary School, 8787 Lemen Avenue, La

Mesa, California 91941

Dear Mr. Christy:

In accordance with your request and authorization, Allstate Services LLC. conducted lead-based paint testing at Lemon Avenue Elementary School located at 8787 Lemen Avenue in La Mesa, California on June 5, 2024. Nicholas Milano, a California Certified Lead Sampling Technician, under the direction of Stacey J. Milano, a California Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor, conducted the on-site work. Please note that only selected areas were tested at this time.

If you need any further assistance after reviewing your report, please do not hesitate to contact me. Allstate Services LLC remains available to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

Stacey J. Milano

Stacey Jmilano

CDPH Inspector/Assessor #LRC-00000083

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Desci</u>	<u>ription</u>	Page
1.0	Testing Methodology	. 1
2.0	Building Description	. 2
3.0	Lead-Based Paint Findings	. 2
4.0	California State Requirements	2
5.0	Recommendations	2
6.0	OSHA Compliance	. 4

- Appendices
  A. Positive Summary Report
  B. Detailed XRF Testing Results
  C. Inspector/Assessor Certifications
  D. CDPH Form 8552 Lead Hazard Evaluation Report

#### 1.0 TESTING METHODOLOGY

Lead-based paint testing was conducted using portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrum analyzer, SciAps, X-550 pb. The X-550 pb is calibrated to measure the L-shell x-ray emissions of lead. The X-550 pb offers two modes of operations; the "quick" mode which is the preferred mode for most lead testing and the "timed" mode for industrial lead paint testing.

Lead-based paint testing was conducted in accordance with *Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Division 1, Chapter 8, Accreditation, Certification, and Work Practice in Lead Related Construction, Section 36000* and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Developments *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, Chapter 7 Lead-Based Paint Inspections*, as published in June 1995 and revised in 1997.

The purpose of this inspection is to identify surfaces which contain lead-based paint as per California regulations, the *HUD Guidelines and section 403 of the Toxic Substances Control Act*.

The state of California, HUD and the EPA currently define lead-based paint as a paint or other surface coating which contains lead equal to or greater than 1.0 milligrams of lead per square centimeter of surface area (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

XRF readings were taken using the "Quick" mode of the X-550 pb. The "Quick" mode measurements have no predetermined testing length, and automatically adjust to account for various types of substrates and materials densities. The precision of the XRF readings is proportional to the square root of the number of x-rays counted by the scanner. The longer the test, the higher the level of precision as compared against the set threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

In the "quick" mode, the X-550 pb tests until a result is indicated as either positive or negative, compared to the threshold level based on the current precision of the test. Correction for paint matrix and substrate effects is performed automatically. The correction function is based on measurements performed by the manufacturer with NIST paint film standards laid over a variety of substrates typically encountered in construction.

Based on the XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS) jointly released by HUD and EPA (effective February 1, 2022), there is no inconclusive range and the Threshold is 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Results are classified as positive if they are at or greater than the threshold as listed. Results are classified as negative if they are less than the listed threshold. No substrate correction is required for testing using the "Quick" mode.

XRF readings were made on testing combinations in all room equivalents in an effort to test typical materials which are representative of the room equivalent. Testing combinations were tested non-destructively by holding the X-550 pb against the surface being tested. At each XRF sample location the X-550 pb shutter is opened, and one reading was made using the "Quick" testing mode. Results of each test were read from the digital display of the instrument console and recorded on the Detailed XRF Testing Results attached in Appendix B.

To ensure that the XRF equipment was working properly, various quality control tests were performed before, during and after the on-site work. At the beginning of the work day, three start up validation measurements were made in the "Quick" mode, using the calibration check standard associated with the particular X-550 pb that was used. This painted standard contains a known quantity of lead and allows the XRF operator to determine whether the instrument is functioning within acceptable tolerance ranges for accuracy and precision, as determined by the manufacturer.

In addition to the three starts up tests, calibration readings were taken on the red 1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Standard Reference Material (SRM) paint film, developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Results of each reading, along with computed readings averages were recorded on the XRF Calibration Form, and compared against the calibration tolerance range defined the X-550 pb PCS. This calibration check was also performed after four hours and at the end of the day. The quality control tests taken during testing at the subject property were within the acceptable performance range prescribed by the PCS and by the XRF equipment manufacturer. Documentation of the quality control calibration check is included in Appendix B, following the detailed testing data.

The XRF testing orientation and labeling are according to the HUD convention. The "A" side was initially assigned to the direction of the street (front of the house or entrance). Sides "B", "C", and "D" were assigned clockwise from the "A" side, starting to the left facing the house. The rooms follow the same orientation as the exterior and are in alignment with the exterior labels.

#### 2.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The property tested is a school with several buildings. The building exteriors consist of stucco and wood walls with metal and wood door and window systems. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time, in preparation for upcoming painting activities.

#### 3.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT FINDINGS

Lead-based paint was found at or above the threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm² on the following components:

• Exterior window sashes and frames, soffit, and fascia

Please see Appendix A – Positive Summary Report for a complete list of positive components and specific locations.

Disruption of surfaces and removal of deteriorated paint should be carried out using approved lead-safe work practices. EPA's Renovation, Repair and Painting (RRP) regulations apply when disrupting surface area above de-minimis levels (6 square feet interior or 20 square feet exterior). According to California's Title 17 Regulations, lead-safe work practices are mandatory when disrupting any amount of lead-based paint.

If surfaces containing lead-based paint become deteriorated, they should be restored to an intact condition by surface preparation and painting in order to comply California Health and Safety Code 17920.10.

Note that if the intent is to permanently reduce a lead, the work would be categorized as abatement and an appropriately certified lead abatement contractor should be used. However, if the intent of the work were to improve the appearance of the surfaces, renovation, repairs or regular maintenance, then the work may be carried out by EPA certified renovators.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

#### 4.0 CALIFORNIA STATE REQUIREMENTS

Allstate Services is required under California regulations (Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8) to notify California Department of Public Health that a lead hazard evaluation survey was conducted at the subject property.

Please see Appendix D for CDPH Form 8552, Lead Hazard Evaluation Report.

#### 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

If this building undergoes renovation in the future, personnel performing the construction work should be properly trained in lead-related construction. California regulations define lead-related construction work as, "Construction, alteration, painting, demolition, salvage, renovation, repair, or maintenance of any residential, public or commercial building, including preparation and cleanup, which, by using or disturbing lead containing material or soil, may result in significant exposure of individuals to lead."

California has a certification process for lead related construction workers. To receive a list of certified individuals, you may contact the Lead Accreditation and Certification Unit Hotline at (800) 597-5323.

There are different methods of addressing lead hazards. These methods include:

<u>Abatement</u> -- A measure or set of measures designed to permanently eliminate lead-based paint hazards or lead-based paint. There are different methods of abatement:

Replacement: Removing the old component and installing a new non-lead

containing component. Replacement is best suited for components that are easily removed. This includes doors,

windows, trim, etc.

**Enclosure**: Covering a surface with a durable mechanically affixed,

dust tight material, such as drywall, paneling, aluminum siding, etc. Enclosure is best used on walls, ceilings,

floors, and some exterior components.

Removal: Removing the paint from the substrate. This is

accomplished by wet scraping, using power tools with special HEPA vacuum attachments, heat guns, and

chemical stripping either on or off site. Paint removal is best suited when a component is to be preserved or when a component cannot be easily replaced or enclosed. Leadbased paint encapsulant products must have a minimum of twenty years warranty.

**Encapsulation**:

The process that makes lead-based paint inaccessible by providing a barrier between the lead-based paint and the environment. This barrier is formed using a liquid applied coating or an adhesive bonded covering material. Encapsulation is best used on walls and ceilings. Please note that ordinary lead-free paint is not considered an encapsulation.

Interim Controls --A set of measures designed to temporarily reduce human exposure or likely exposure to lead-based paint hazards. Interim controls include specialized cleaning, repairs, maintenance, painting, and temporary containment, ongoing monitoring of lead-based paint hazards or potential hazards and the establishment and operation of management and resident education programs. Interim controls should be used only if full abatement is not feasible. Reducing the hazards can be accomplished by simply keeping the painted surfaces intact and through specialized cleaning methods. If abatement cannot take place soon, interim controls should be implemented and maintained until full abatement can be made.

As previously stated, any activities involving lead hazard control and/or lead abatement must be performed by certified individuals.

#### 6.0 OSHA COMPLIANCE

OSHA Regulations (Title 8 CCR Section 1532.1 and 29 CFR 1926.62) apply to all construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead, and therefore may be applicable to renovation or demolition projects involving paints with any concentration of lead.

There are many other building materials, which may contain lead in the average building. When conducting construction activities, which disturb lead in any amount or create an exposure to workers, the employer is required to provide worker protection and conduct exposure assessments. All employers should consult Federal OSHA Regulations at 29 CFR 1926.62 and Cal-OSHA Regulations at Title 8, 1532.1, "Lead in Construction" standards for complete requirements.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

## APPENDIX A POSITIVE SUMMARY REPORT

## POSITIVE XRF SUMMARY REPORT

					l l		- · ·				
								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition		Results	Quantity	Comments
7	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	D	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	13 Each	
8	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	13 Each	
9	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
17	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	10 Each	
18	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
26	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	15 Each	
27	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	15 Each	
28	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.1	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
68	Exterior	Building 8 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.6	Positive	25 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
**Quantity es	stimation	s of leaded materials are provi	ded for budget	t considerations only and sl	nould be verified ons	site by bidder	S.				

## APPENDIX B DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
1	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
2	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
3	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
4	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
5	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
6		Building 1 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.1	Negative		
7	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	D	Window Sash	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	13 Each	
8	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	13 Each	
9	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
10		Building 1 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
11	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
12		Building 2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
13	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
14		Building 2 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
15		Building 2 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
16		Building 2 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.1	Negative		
17	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	10 Each	
18	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
19	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
20	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
21	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
22	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
23	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
24	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
25	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
26		Building 3 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	15 Each	
27	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.2	Positive	15 Each	
28		Building 3 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	2.1	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
29		Building 3 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
30		Building 4 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
31		Building 4 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
32	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
33		Building 4 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
34	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
35		Building 4 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
36		Building 4 Exterior	С	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
37		Building 4 Exterior	С	Fascia	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
38		Building 5 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
39		Building 5 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
40		Building 5 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
41		Building 5 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
42		Building 5 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
43	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
44	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	С	Fascia	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		

								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
45	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
46		Building 6 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
47	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
48	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
49	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
50	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
51	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Eave	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
52	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Fascia	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
53	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
54	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
55	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
56	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
57		Building 7 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
58	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
59	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	D	Eave	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
60	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	D	Fascia	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
61	Exterior	Building 8 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
62		Building 8 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
63		Building 8 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
64		Building 8 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
65		Building 8 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
66		Building 8 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
67		Building 8 Exterior	Α	Eave	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
68		Building 8 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.6	Positive	25 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
69		Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative	-	
70		Building P-1 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
71		Building P-1 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
72		Building P-1 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
73		Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
74	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
75		Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
76		Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
77		Building P-2 Exterior	A	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
78		Building P-2 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
79		Building P-2 Exterior	C	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
80		Building P-2 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
81		Building P-2 Exterior	A	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
82		Building P-2 Exterior	A	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
83		Building P-2 Exterior	A	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
84		Building P-2 Exterior	A	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
85		Building P-3 Exterior	A	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
86		Building P-3 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
87		Building P-3 Exterior	C	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
88		Building P-3 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		

		Room	Side					Lead (mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition		Results	Quantity	Comments
89	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
90	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
91	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
92	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
93	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
94	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
95	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
96	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
97	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
98	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
99	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
100	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

#### ALLSTATE SERVICES LLC. XRF CALIBRATION FORM

Address: Lemon Avenue Elementary School, 8787 Lemen Avenue La Mesa, CA 91941

Device: SciAps X-550

Date: June 5, 2024

Inspector: Nicholas Milano/Stacey J. Milano

## Calibration Check Tolerance Used: <u>0.8 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> - 1.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> (Inclusive)</u> **Use Level III (1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) NIST SRM Paint film**

Time: 9:06 a.m.

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Time: 4:30 p.m.

#### **First Calibration Check**

 1st Reading
 2nd Reading
 3rd Reading
 1st Average

 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0

#### **Second Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

#### Third Calibration Check (If Needed)

1st Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

## APPENDIX C INSPECTOR CERTIFICATIONS



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

**CERTIFICATE TYPE:** 

**NUMBER:** 

**EXPIRATION DATE:** 

2

Lead Sampling Technician

LRC-00004942

4/10/2025

Nicholas Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

#### INDIVIDUAL:



#### CERTIFICATE TYPE:

Lead Project Monitor Lead Project Designer Lead Inspector/Assessor Lead Supervisor

#### NUMBER:

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 5/3/2025 LRC-00000085 5/3/2025 LRC-00000084 5/3/2025 LRC-00000083

LRC-00000082

5/3/2025

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD

# APPENDIX D CDPH FORM 8552 - LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT

#### **LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT**

Section 1 — Date of Lead Hazard Evaluation 6/5/20	)24			
Section 2 — Type of Lead Hazard Evaluation (Check	k one bo	x only)		
Lead Inspection Risk assessment C	Clearance	e Inspection 🗸 O	ther (specify) Limited Lead	d Testing
Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evaluation	on Was (	Conducted		
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City		County	Zip Code
Lemon Avenue Elementary School, 8787 Lemen Avenue	ue La l	Mesa	San Diego	91941
Construction date (year) Type of structure			Children living in structure	?
of structure Multi-unit building	<b>✓</b>	School or daycare	Yes Vo	
Prior to 1978 Single family dwelling		Other	Don't Know	
Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agency	y, list coı	ntact person)	1	
Name		٦	elephone number	
Contact: Western Environmental & Safety Tech	ı. C/O M	lr. Dave Christy	858-271-1842	
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	<u> </u>	State	Zip Code
2825 Carleton Street, #25	Sar	n Diego	California	92106
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (che	eck all th	nat apply)		
Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evan Name  Stacey J. Milano  Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]  1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220  CDPH certification number  LRC-00000083  Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals	City Sal Signature	n Diego Stacey J	Telephone number 619-255-1052 State California Wilano f applicable)	Zip Code 92108 Date 6/10/24
Nicholas Milano, Lead Sampling Ted	chnicia	an #LRC-0000	)4942	
Section 7 — Attachments				
A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicated lead-based paint;     B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedur C. All data collected, including quality control data, laborated.	re used;			
First copy and attachments retained by inspector		Third copy only (no att	achments) mailed or faxed to	:
Second copy and attachments retained by owner			ning Prevention Branch Repo ray, Building P, Third Floor	orts



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

#### LEAD-BASED PAINT TESTING REPORT

(a)

### MARYLAND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 5400 MARYLAND AVENUE LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 91942

# PREPARED FOR: MR. DAVE CHRISTY WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL & SAFETY TECHNOLOGY 2825 CARLETON STREET, #25 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92106

PREPARED BY:
STACEY J. MILANO
INSPECTOR/ASSESSOR
CERTIFICATION #LRC-00000083

**JUNE 10, 2024** 

#### Professional Environmental Consulting and Training Asbestos Lead Mold/Healthy Homes



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

June 10, 2024

Mr. Dave Christy Western Environmental & Safety Technology 2825 Carleton Street, #25 San Diego, California 92106

RE: Lead-based paint testing at Maryland Elementary School, 5400 Maryland Avenue, La Mesa, CA 91942

Dear Mr. Christy:

In accordance with your request and authorization, Allstate Services LLC. conducted lead-based paint testing at Maryland Elementary School located at 5400 Maryland Avenue in La Mesa, California, on June 5, 2024. Nicholas Milano, a California Certified Lead Sampling Technician, under the direction of Stacey J. Milano, a California Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor, conducted the on-site work. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time.

If you need any further assistance after reviewing your report, please do not hesitate to contact me. Allstate Services LLC remains available to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

Stacey J. Milano

Stacey Jmilano

CDPH Inspector/Assessor #LRC-00000083

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Desci	<u>ription</u>	Pag	<u>;e</u>
1.0	Testing Methodology	••	1
2.0	Building Description		2
3.0	Lead-Based Paint Findings	•••	2
4.0	California State Requirements	2	2
5.0	OSHA Compliance		2

- Appendices
  A. Detailed XRF Testing Results
  B. Inspector/Assessor Certifications
  C. CDPH Form 8552 Lead Hazard Evaluation Report

#### 1.0 TESTING METHODOLOGY

Lead-based paint testing was conducted using portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrum analyzer, SciAps, X-550 pb. The X-550 pb is calibrated to measure the L-shell x-ray emissions of lead. The X-550 pb offers two modes of operations; the "quick" mode which is the preferred mode for most lead testing and the "timed" mode for industrial lead paint testing.

Lead-based paint testing was conducted in accordance with *Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Division 1, Chapter 8, Accreditation, Certification, and Work Practice in Lead Related Construction, Section 36000* and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Developments *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, Chapter 7 Lead-Based Paint Inspections*, as published in June 1995 and revised in 1997.

The purpose of this inspection is to identify surfaces which contain lead-based paint as per California regulations, the *HUD Guidelines and section 403 of the Toxic Substances Control Act*.

The state of California, HUD and the EPA currently define lead-based paint as a paint or other surface coating which contains lead equal to or greater than 1.0 milligrams of lead per square centimeter of surface area (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

XRF readings were taken using the "Quick" mode of the X-550 pb. The "Quick" mode measurements have no predetermined testing length, and automatically adjust to account for various types of substrates and materials densities. The precision of the XRF readings is proportional to the square root of the number of x-rays counted by the scanner. The longer the test, the higher the level of precision as compared against the set threshold level of  $1.0 \, \text{mg/cm}^2$ .

In the "quick" mode, the X-550 pb tests until a result is indicated as either positive or negative, compared to the threshold level based on the current precision of the test. Correction for paint matrix and substrate effects is performed automatically. The correction function is based on measurements performed by the manufacturer with NIST paint film standards laid over a variety of substrates typically encountered in construction.

Based on the XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS) jointly released by HUD and EPA (effective February 1, 2022), there is no inconclusive range and the Threshold is 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Results are classified as positive if they are at or greater than the threshold as listed. Results are classified as negative if they are less than the listed threshold. No substrate correction is required for testing using the "Quick" mode.

XRF readings were made on testing combinations in all room equivalents in an effort to test typical materials which are representative of the room equivalent. Testing combinations were tested non-destructively by holding the X-550 pb against the surface being tested. At each XRF sample location the X-550 pb shutter is opened, and one reading was made using the "Quick" testing mode. Results of each test were read from the digital display of the instrument console and recorded on the Detailed XRF Testing Results attached in Appendix A.

To ensure that the XRF equipment was working properly, various quality control tests were performed before, during and after the on-site work. At the beginning of the work day, three start up validation measurements were made in the "Quick" mode, using the calibration check standard associated with the particular X-550 pb that was used. This painted standard contains a known quantity of lead and allows the XRF operator to determine whether the instrument is functioning within acceptable tolerance ranges for accuracy and precision, as determined by the manufacturer.

In addition to the three starts up tests, calibration readings were taken on the red 1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Standard Reference Material (SRM) paint film, developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Results of each reading, along with computed readings averages were recorded on the XRF Calibration Form, and compared against the calibration tolerance range defined the X-550 pb PCS. This calibration check was also performed after four hours and at the end of the day. The quality control tests taken during testing at the subject property were within the acceptable performance range prescribed by the PCS and by the XRF equipment manufacturer. Documentation of the quality control calibration check is included in Appendix A, following the detailed testing data.

The XRF testing orientation and labeling are according to the HUD convention. The "A" side was initially assigned to the direction of the street (front of the house or entrance). Sides "B", "C", and "D" were assigned clockwise from the "A" side, starting to the left facing the house. The rooms follow the same orientation as the exterior and are in alignment with the exterior labels.

#### 2.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The property tested is a school with several buildings. The building exteriors consist of stucco and wood walls with metal and wood door and window systems. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time, in preparation for upcoming painting activities.

#### 3.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT FINDINGS

No lead-based paint was found at or above the threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> on the selected surfaces tested.

#### 4.0 CALIFORNIA STATE REQUIREMENTS

Allstate Services is required under California regulations (Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8) to notify California Department of Public Health that a lead hazard evaluation survey was conducted at the subject property.

Please see Appendix C for CDPH Form 8552, Lead Hazard Evaluation Report.

#### 5.0 OSHA COMPLIANCE

OSHA Regulations (Title 8 CCR Section 1532.1 and 29 CFR 1926.62) apply to all construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead, and therefore may be applicable to renovation or demolition projects involving paints with any concentration of lead.

There are many other building materials, which may contain lead in the average building. When conducting construction activities, which disturb lead in any amount or create an exposure to workers, the employer is required to provide worker protection and conduct exposure assessments. All employers should consult Federal OSHA Regulations at 29 CFR 1926.62 and Cal-OSHA Regulations at Title 8, 1532.1, "Lead in Construction" standards for complete requirements.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

## APPENDIX A DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

Maryland Elementary School 5400 Maryland Avenue, La Mesa, California 91942

				I	lveriue, La iviesa,		1042	اممما			
								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
1		Building 1 Exterior	А	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative	-	
2	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
3		Building 1 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
4		Building 1 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
5		Building 1 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
6		Building 1 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
7		Building 1 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
8		Building 1 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
9		Building 1 Exterior	D	Fascia	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
10		Building 2 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
11		Building 2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
12		Building 2 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
13		Building 2 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
14		Building 2 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.2	Negative		
15		Building 2 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
16		Building 2 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
17		Building 2 Exterior	В	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
18		Building 2 Exterior	В	Fascia	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
19		Building 3 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
20		Building 3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
21		Building 3 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
22		Building 3 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
23		Building 3 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.2	Negative		
24 25		Building 3 Exterior	B B	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
25		Building 3 Exterior		Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
		Building 3 Exterior	B B	Soffit	Stucco Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
27 28		Building 3 Exterior Building 4 Exterior	A	Fascia Wall	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative Negative		
29			B	Wall		Beige	Intact				
30		Building 4 Exterior Building 4 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco Stucco	Beige Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative Negative		
31		Building 4 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
32		Building 4 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
33		Building 4 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
34		Building 4 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.1	Negative		
35		Building 4 Exterior	В	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
36		Building 4 Exterior	В	Fascia	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
37		Building 5 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
38		Building 5 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
39		Building 5 Exterior	C	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
40		Building 5 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
41		Building 5 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
42		Building 5 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
		•									
43		Building 5 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		

Maryland Elementary School 5400 Maryland Avenue, La Mesa, California 91942

								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm <sup>2</sup> )	Results	Quantity	Comments
44		Building 5 Exterior	В	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
45		Building 5 Exterior	В	Fascia	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
46	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
47	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
48	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
49	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
50	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
51		Building 6 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
52	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
53		Building 6 Exterior	В	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
54		Building 6 Exterior	В	Fascia	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
55		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
56		Building 7 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
57		Building 7 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
58	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
59		Building 7 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
60		Building 7 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.1	Negative		
61		Building 7 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
62		Building 7 Exterior	В	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
63		Building 7 Exterior	В	Fascia	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
64		Building 8 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
65		Building 8 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
66	Exterior	Building 8 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
67		Building 8 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
68		Building 8 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
69		Building 8 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
70		Building 8 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
71		Building 8 Exterior	В	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
72		Building 8 Exterior	В	Fascia	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
73		Building 9 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
74		Building 9 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
75		Building 9 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
76		Building 9 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
77		Building 9 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
78		Building 9 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
79		Building 9 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
80		Building 9 Exterior	D	Fascia	Stucco	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
81		Building P-1 Exterior	A	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
82		Building P-1 Exterior	В	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
83		Building P-1 Exterior	С	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
84		Building P-1 Exterior	D	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
85		Building P-1 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
86		Building P-1 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
87	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	В	Soffit	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		

Maryland Elementary School 5400 Maryland Avenue, La Mesa, California 91942

				3400 Mai yiana 7				Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
88	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative	_	
89	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
90	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
91	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
92	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
93	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
94	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
95	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	В	Soffit	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
96	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
97	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	А	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
98	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
99	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
100	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
101	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
102	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	А	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
103	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
104	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
105	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
106	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
107		Building P-4 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
108	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
109	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	В	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
110	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	В	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
111	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	В	Soffit	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
112	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
113	Exterior	Building P-5 Exterior	А	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
114	Exterior	Building P-5 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
115	Exterior	Building P-5 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
116	Exterior	Building P-5 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
117	Exterior	Building P-5 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
118	Exterior	Building P-5 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
119	Exterior	Building P-5 Exterior	D	Soffit	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
120		Building P-5 Exterior	D	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

#### ALLSTATE SERVICES LLC. XRF CALIBRATION FORM

Address:	Maryland Elementar	<u>y School, 3400 Mar</u>	<u>yiana Avenue, La ivies</u>	<u>a, Camorma 91942</u>
	•	•	-	
Device:	SciAps X-550			

Date: \_\_\_\_June 5, 2024

Inspector: Nicholas Milano/Stacey J. Milano

Calibration Check Tolerance Used: <u>0.8 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> - 1.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> (Inclusive)</u> **Use Level III (1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) NIST SRM Paint film** 

Time: 9:06 a.m.

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Time: 4:30 p.m.

#### **First Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	1st Average	
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	

#### **Second Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Average	
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	

#### Third Calibration Check (If Needed)

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Average	
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	

## APPENDIX B INSPECTOR CERTIFICATIONS



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

**CERTIFICATE TYPE:** 

**NUMBER:** 

**EXPIRATION DATE:** 

2

Lead Sampling Technician

LRC-00004942

4/10/2025

Nicholas Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



# LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

#### INDIVIDUAL:



CERTIFICATE TYPE:

Lead Project Monitor Lead Project Designer Lead Inspector/Assessor Lead Supervisor

NUMBER:

EXPIRATION DATE:

LRC-00000085 LRC-00000084 5/3/2025 5/3/2025

LRC-00000083

5/3/2025

LRC-00000082

5/3/2025

Stacey Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD

# APPENDIX C CDPH FORM 8552 - LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT

#### **LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT**

Section 1 — Date of Lead Hazard Evaluation 6/5/20	24		
Section 2 — Type of Lead Hazard Evaluation (Check	one box only)		
Lead Inspection Risk assessment C	Clearance Inspection 🗸 (	Other (specify) Limited Lead	I Testing
Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evaluation	on Was Conducted		
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	County	Zip Code
Maryland Elementary School, 5400 Maryland Avenu	ue La Mesa	San Diego	91942
Construction date (year) of structure  Prior to 1978  Type of structure  Multi-unit building  Single family dwelling	✓ School or daycare  Other	Children living in structure  Yes  Don't Know	?
Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agency	List contact person)		
Name		Telephone number	
Contact: Western Environmental & Safety Tech		858-271-1842	
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	State	Zip Code
2825 Carleton Street, #25	San Diego	California	92106
		Camorna	02100
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (che	eck all that apply)		
✓ No lead-based paint detected Intact lead  No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated d	-based paint detected ust found Lead-contan	Deteriorated lead-base	
Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Eva	aluation		
Name		Telephone number	
Stacey J. Milano		619-255-1052	
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	State	Zip Code
1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220	San Diego	California	92108
CDPH certification number S	ignature		Date
LRC-0000083	Stacey G	. Milano	6/10/24
Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals		(if applicable)	
Nicholas Milano, Lead Sampling Ted	<mark>chnician #LRC-000</mark>	04942	
Section 7 — Attachments			
A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicated lead-based paint;     B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedur C. All data collected, including quality control data, laborated.	e used;	·	
First copy and attachments retained by inspector	Third copy only (no at	tachments) mailed or faxed to	
Second copy and attachments retained by owner		oning Prevention Branch Repo way, Building P, Third Floor	rts



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

### LEAD-BASED PAINT TESTING REPORT

(a)

## MURRAY MANOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 8305 EL PASO STREET LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 91942

# PREPARED FOR: MR. DAVE CHRISTY WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL & SAFETY TECHNOLOGY 2825 CARLETON STREET, #25 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92106

PREPARED BY:
STACEY J. MILANO
INSPECTOR/ASSESSOR
CERTIFICATION #LRC-00000083

**JUNE 10, 2024** 

#### Professional Environmental Consulting and Training Asbestos Lead Mold/Healthy Homes



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

June 10, 2024

Mr. David Christy Western Environmental Services 2825 Carleton Street, #25 San Diego, California 92106

RE: Lead-based paint testing at Murray Manor Elementary School, 8305 El Paso Street, La

Mesa, California 91942

Dear Mr. Christy:

In accordance with your request and authorization, Allstate Services LLC. conducted lead-based paint testing at Murray Manor Elementary School located at 8305 El Paso Street in La Mesa, California on June 5, 2024. Nicholas Milano, a California Certified Lead Sampling Technician, under the direction of Stacey J. Milano, a California Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor, conducted the on-site work. Please note that only selected areas were tested at this time.

If you need any further assistance after reviewing your report, please do not hesitate to contact me. Allstate Services LLC remains available to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

Stacey J. Milano

Stacey Jmilano

CDPH Inspector/Assessor #LRC-00000083

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Desci</u>	<u>ription</u>	Page
1.0	Testing Methodology	. 1
2.0	Building Description	. 2
3.0	Lead-Based Paint Findings	. 2
4.0	California State Requirements	2
5.0	Recommendations	2
6.0	OSHA Compliance	. 4

- Appendices
  A. Positive Summary Report
  B. Detailed XRF Testing Results
  C. Inspector/Assessor Certifications
  D. CDPH Form 8552 Lead Hazard Evaluation Report

#### 1.0 TESTING METHODOLOGY

Lead-based paint testing was conducted using portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrum analyzer, SciAps, X-550 pb. The X-550 pb is calibrated to measure the L-shell x-ray emissions of lead. The X-550 pb offers two modes of operations; the "quick" mode which is the preferred mode for most lead testing and the "timed" mode for industrial lead paint testing.

Lead-based paint testing was conducted in accordance with *Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Division 1, Chapter 8, Accreditation, Certification, and Work Practice in Lead Related Construction, Section 36000* and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Developments *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, Chapter 7 Lead-Based Paint Inspections*, as published in June 1995 and revised in 1997.

The purpose of this inspection is to identify surfaces which contain lead-based paint as per California regulations, the *HUD Guidelines and section 403 of the Toxic Substances Control Act*.

The state of California, HUD and the EPA currently define lead-based paint as a paint or other surface coating which contains lead equal to or greater than 1.0 milligrams of lead per square centimeter of surface area (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

XRF readings were taken using the "Quick" mode of the X-550 pb. The "Quick" mode measurements have no predetermined testing length, and automatically adjust to account for various types of substrates and materials densities. The precision of the XRF readings is proportional to the square root of the number of x-rays counted by the scanner. The longer the test, the higher the level of precision as compared against the set threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

In the "quick" mode, the X-550 pb tests until a result is indicated as either positive or negative, compared to the threshold level based on the current precision of the test. Correction for paint matrix and substrate effects is performed automatically. The correction function is based on measurements performed by the manufacturer with NIST paint film standards laid over a variety of substrates typically encountered in construction.

Based on the XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS) jointly released by HUD and EPA (effective February 1, 2022), there is no inconclusive range and the Threshold is 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Results are classified as positive if they are at or greater than the threshold as listed. Results are classified as negative if they are less than the listed threshold. No substrate correction is required for testing using the "Quick" mode.

XRF readings were made on testing combinations in all room equivalents in an effort to test typical materials which are representative of the room equivalent. Testing combinations were tested non-destructively by holding the X-550 pb against the surface being tested. At each XRF sample location the X-550 pb shutter is opened, and one reading was made using the "Quick" testing mode. Results of each test were read from the digital display of the instrument console and recorded on the Detailed XRF Testing Results attached in Appendix B.

To ensure that the XRF equipment was working properly, various quality control tests were performed before, during and after the on-site work. At the beginning of the work day, three start up validation measurements were made in the "Quick" mode, using the calibration check standard associated with the particular X-550 pb that was used. This painted standard contains a known quantity of lead and allows the XRF operator to determine whether the instrument is functioning within acceptable tolerance ranges for accuracy and precision, as determined by the manufacturer.

In addition to the three starts up tests, calibration readings were taken on the red 1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Standard Reference Material (SRM) paint film, developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Results of each reading, along with computed readings averages were recorded on the XRF Calibration Form, and compared against the calibration tolerance range defined the X-550 pb PCS. This calibration check was also performed after four hours and at the end of the day. The quality control tests taken during testing at the subject property were within the acceptable performance range prescribed by the PCS and by the XRF equipment manufacturer. Documentation of the quality control calibration check is included in Appendix B, following the detailed testing data.

The XRF testing orientation and labeling are according to the HUD convention. The "A" side was initially assigned to the direction of the street (front of the house or entrance). Sides "B", "C", and "D" were assigned clockwise from the "A" side, starting to the left facing the house. The rooms follow the same orientation as the exterior and are in alignment with the exterior labels.

#### 2.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The property tested is a school with several buildings. The building exteriors consist of stucco and wood walls with metal and wood door and window systems. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time, in preparation for upcoming painting activities.

#### 3.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT FINDINGS

Lead-based paint was found at or above the threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm² on the following components:

• Exterior window sashes and frames, overhang posts, door frames, and fascia

Please see Appendix A – Positive Summary Report for a complete list of positive components and specific locations.

Disruption of surfaces and removal of deteriorated paint should be carried out using approved lead-safe work practices. EPA's Renovation, Repair and Painting (RRP) regulations apply when disrupting surface area above de-minimis levels (6 square feet interior or 20 square feet exterior). According to California's Title 17 Regulations, lead-safe work practices are mandatory when disrupting any amount of lead-based paint.

If surfaces containing lead-based paint become deteriorated, they should be restored to an intact condition by surface preparation and painting in order to comply California Health and Safety Code 17920.10.

Note that if the intent is to permanently reduce a lead, the work would be categorized as abatement and an appropriately certified lead abatement contractor should be used. However, if the intent of the work were to improve the appearance of the surfaces, renovation, repairs or regular maintenance, then the work may be carried out by EPA certified renovators.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

#### 4.0 CALIFORNIA STATE REQUIREMENTS

Allstate Services is required under California regulations (Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8) to notify California Department of Public Health that a lead hazard evaluation survey was conducted at the subject property.

Please see Appendix D for CDPH Form 8552, Lead Hazard Evaluation Report.

#### 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

If this building undergoes renovation in the future, personnel performing the construction work should be properly trained in lead-related construction. California regulations define lead-related construction work as, "Construction, alteration, painting, demolition, salvage, renovation, repair, or maintenance of any residential, public or commercial building, including preparation and cleanup, which, by using or disturbing lead containing material or soil, may result in significant exposure of individuals to lead."

California has a certification process for lead related construction workers. To receive a list of certified individuals, you may contact the Lead Accreditation and Certification Unit Hotline at (800) 597-5323.

There are different methods of addressing lead hazards. These methods include:

<u>Abatement</u> -- A measure or set of measures designed to permanently eliminate lead-based paint hazards or lead-based paint. There are different methods of abatement:

Replacement: Removing the old component and installing a new non-lead

containing component. Replacement is best suited for components that are easily removed. This includes doors,

windows, trim, etc.

**Enclosure**: Covering a surface with a durable mechanically affixed,

dust tight material, such as drywall, paneling, aluminum siding, etc. Enclosure is best used on walls, ceilings,

floors, and some exterior components.

Removal: Removing the paint from the substrate. This is

accomplished by wet scraping, using power tools with special HEPA vacuum attachments, heat guns, and

chemical stripping either on or off site. Paint removal is best suited when a component is to be preserved or when a component cannot be easily replaced or enclosed. Leadbased paint encapsulant products must have a minimum of twenty years warranty.

**Encapsulation**:

The process that makes lead-based paint inaccessible by providing a barrier between the lead-based paint and the environment. This barrier is formed using a liquid applied coating or an adhesive bonded covering material. Encapsulation is best used on walls and ceilings. Please note that ordinary lead-free paint is not considered an encapsulation.

Interim Controls --A set of measures designed to temporarily reduce human exposure or likely exposure to lead-based paint hazards. Interim controls include specialized cleaning, repairs, maintenance, painting, and temporary containment, ongoing monitoring of lead-based paint hazards or potential hazards and the establishment and operation of management and resident education programs. Interim controls should be used only if full abatement is not feasible. Reducing the hazards can be accomplished by simply keeping the painted surfaces intact and through specialized cleaning methods. If abatement cannot take place soon, interim controls should be implemented and maintained until full abatement can be made.

As previously stated, any activities involving lead hazard control and/or lead abatement must be performed by certified individuals.

#### 6.0 OSHA COMPLIANCE

OSHA Regulations (Title 8 CCR Section 1532.1 and 29 CFR 1926.62) apply to all construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead, and therefore may be applicable to renovation or demolition projects involving paints with any concentration of lead.

There are many other building materials, which may contain lead in the average building. When conducting construction activities, which disturb lead in any amount or create an exposure to workers, the employer is required to provide worker protection and conduct exposure assessments. All employers should consult Federal OSHA Regulations at 29 CFR 1926.62 and Cal-OSHA Regulations at Title 8, 1532.1, "Lead in Construction" standards for complete requirements.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

# APPENDIX A POSITIVE SUMMARY REPORT

## POSITIVE XRF SUMMARY REPORT

Sample	Area	Room Eguivalent	Side Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	Lead (mg/ cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
8		Building 1 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	2.3	Positive	13 Each	
10	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.7	Positive	400 LF	
11	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Overhang Post	Metal	Blue	Intact	6.1	Positive	100 Each	
16	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	2.2	Positive	4 Each	
17	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
18	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
21	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.8	Positive	400 LF	
27	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
28	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
31	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.8	Positive	400 LF	
37	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.7	Positive	4 Each	
38	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
41	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	400 LF	
47	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.3	Positive	4 Each	
48	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
51		Building 5 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.1	Positive	400 LF	
57		Building 6 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.3	Positive	4 Each	
59		Building 6 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
61	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.3	Positive	400 LF	
71	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.7	Positive	400 LF	
Quantity es	stimation	s of leaded materials are prov	ided for budget	considerations only and sl	nould be verified ons	ite by bidder	S.				

# APPENDIX B DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm <sup>2</sup> )	Results	Quantity	Comments
1		Building 1 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
2	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
3	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
4		Building 1 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
5		Building 1 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
6		Building 1 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
7		Building 1 Exterior	D	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
8		Building 1 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	2.3	Positive	13 Each	
9		Building 1 Exterior	С	Soffit	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
10		Building 1 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.7	Positive	400 LF	
11		Building 1 Exterior	В	Overhang Post	Metal	Blue	Intact	6.1	Positive	100 Each	
12	Exterior	Building 2 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
13		Building 2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
14		Building 2 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
15		Building 2 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
16		Building 2 Exterior	A	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	2.2	Positive	4 Each	
17		Building 2 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
18		Building 2 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
19		Building 2 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
20		Building 2 Exterior	В	Eave	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
21		Building 2 Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.8	Positive	400 LF	
22		Building 3 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
23		Building 3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
24		Building 3 Exterior	C	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
25		Building 3 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
26		Building 3 Exterior	A	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
27		Building 3 Exterior	A	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
28		Building 3 Exterior	A	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
29		Building 3 Exterior	A	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
30		Building 3 Exterior	A	Eave	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative	400   5	
31		Building 3 Exterior	A	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.8	Positive	400 LF	
32		Building 4 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
33		Building 4 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
34		Building 4 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
35		Building 4 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
36		Building 4 Exterior	A	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative	4 Fach	
37	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	A	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.7	Positive	4 Each	
38		Building 4 Exterior	A	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
39		Building 4 Exterior	A	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
40 41		Building 4 Exterior	A	Eave	Stucco Wood	Beige Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative	400 LF	
		Building 4 Exterior	A	Fascia Wall			Intact	1.3 0.0	Positive	400 LF	
42		Building 5 Exterior	A B		Stucco	Beige	Intact		Negative		
43	⊏xterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		

Sample	Area	Room Equivalent	Side Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	Lead (mg/ cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
44		Building 5 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
45	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
46	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
47	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.3	Positive	4 Each	
48	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
49	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
50	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Eave	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
51	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.1	Positive	400 LF	
52	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
53	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
54		Building 6 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
55	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
56		Building 6 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
57	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.3	Positive	4 Each	
58	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
59	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	12 Each	
60	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	Α	Eave	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
61		Building 6 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	2.3	Positive	400 LF	
62	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
63	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
64	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
65	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
66		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
67		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
68		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
69		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Window Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
70		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Eave	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
71		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.7	Positive	400 LF	
72		Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
73		Building P-1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
74		Building P-1 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
75	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
76	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
77	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
78	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
79		Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
80		Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
81		Building P-2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
82		Building P-2 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
83		Building P-2 Exterior	D	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
84		Building P-2 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
85		Building P-2 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
86	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
87	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

		Room	Side					Lead (mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition		Results	Quantity	Comments
88	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	Α	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
89	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
90	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
91	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	D	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
92	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
93	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
94	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
95	Exterior	Building P-3 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
96	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	Α	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
97	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
98	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
99	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	D	Wall	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
100	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
101	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
102	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
103	Exterior	Building P-4 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

#### ALLSTATE SERVICES LLC. XRF CALIBRATION FORM

Address:	Murray Manor Elementary School, 8305 El Paso Street La Mesa, California 91942
Device:	SciAps X-550
_	<u> </u>
Date:	June 5, 2024
	0,2021

Inspector: Nicholas Milano/Stacey J. Milano

Calibration Check Tolerance Used: <u>0.8 mg/cm² - 1.2 mg/cm² (Inclusive)</u> **Use Level III (1.02 mg/cm²) NIST SRM Paint film** 

Time: 9:06 a.m.

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Time: 4:30 p.m.

#### **First Calibration Check**

1st Readin	ng 2 <sup>nd</sup>	Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	1st Average
1.0	)	1.0	1.0	1.0

#### **Second Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

#### **Third Calibration Check (If Needed)**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

# APPENDIX C INSPECTOR CERTIFICATIONS



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

**CERTIFICATE TYPE:** 

**NUMBER:** 

**EXPIRATION DATE:** 

2

Lead Sampling Technician

LRC-00004942

4/10/2025

Nicholas Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



# LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

#### INDIVIDUAL:



#### CERTIFICATE TYPE:

Lead Project Monitor Lead Project Designer Lead Inspector/Assessor Lead Supervisor

#### NUMBER:

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 5/3/2025 LRC-00000085 5/3/2025 LRC-00000084 5/3/2025 LRC-00000083

LRC-00000082

5/3/2025

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD

# APPENDIX D CDPH FORM 8552 - LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT

#### **LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT**

Section 1 — Date of Lead Hazard Evaluation 6/5/	2024							
		no hov only)						
Section 2 — Type of Lead Hazard Evaluation (Che Lead Inspection Risk assessment			Othe	er (specify) <mark>Limited Lead <sup>-</sup></mark>	<u>Festing</u>			
Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evalua	ition	Was Conducted						
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City County Zip Code								
Murray Manor Elementary School, 8305 El Paso S	treet	La Mesa		San Diego	91942			
Construction date (year) of structure  Prior to 1978  Type of structure  Multi-unit building  Single family dwelli	ng	✓ School or daycare  Other		Children living in structure?  Yes  Don't Know				
Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agen	ıcy, li	st contact person)						
Name			Tele	phone number				
Contact: Western Environmental & Safety Ted	ch. C	C/O Mr. Dave Christy	858	8-271-1842				
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]		City		State	Zip Code			
2825 Carleton Street, #25		San Diego		California	92106			
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (c	heck	all that apply)						
No lead-based paint detected ✓ Intact le  No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated  Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard E	d dust		ninat	Deteriorated lead-base ted soil found Other				
Name			Tele	ephone number				
Stacey J. Milano			61	9-255-1052				
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]		City		State	Zip Code			
1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220		San Diego		California	92108			
CDPH certification number  LRC-0000083				ilano	Date 6/10/24			
Name and CDPH certification number of any other individual	als cor	nducting sampling or testing	(if ap	pplicable)				
Nicholas Milano, Lead Sampling Te	ech	nician #LRC-000	04	942				
Section 7 — Attachments								
A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specifc locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint;     B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used;     C. All data collected, including quality control data, laboratory results, including laboratory name, address, and phone number.								
First copy and attachments retained by inspector		Third copy only (no at	ttach	ments) mailed or faxed to:				
Third copy and attachments retained by inspector  California Department of Public Health Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Branch Reports 850 Marina Bay Parkway, Building P, Third Floor Richmond, CA 94804-6403 Fax: (510) 620-5656								



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

### LEAD-BASED PAINT TESTING REPORT

(a)

## NORTHMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 9405 GREGORY STREET LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 91942

# PREPARED FOR: MR. DAVE CHRISTY WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL & SAFETY TECHNOLOGY 2825 CARLETON STREET, #25 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92106

PREPARED BY:
STACEY J. MILANO
INSPECTOR/ASSESSOR
CERTIFICATION #LRC-00000083

**JUNE 5, 2024** 

#### Professional Environmental Consulting and Training Asbestos Lead Mold/Healthy Homes



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

June 5, 2024

Mr. Dave Christy Western Environmental & Safety Technology 2825 Carleton Street, #25 San Diego, California 92106

RE: Lead-based paint testing at Northmont Elementary School, 9405 Gregory Street, La Mesa, CA 91942

Dear Mr. Christy:

In accordance with your request and authorization, Allstate Services LLC. conducted lead-based paint testing at Northmont Elementary School located at 9405 Gregory Street in La Mesa, California, on June 5, 2024. Nicholas Milano, a California Certified Lead Sampling Technician, under the direction of Stacey J. Milano, a California Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor, conducted the on-site work. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time.

If you need any further assistance after reviewing your report, please do not hesitate to contact me. Allstate Services LLC remains available to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

Stacey J. Milano

Stacey Jmilano

CDPH Inspector/Assessor #LRC-00000083

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Desc	<u>ription</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0	Testing Methodology	. 1
2.0	Building Description	2
3.0	Lead-Based Paint Findings	2
4.0	California State Requirements	2
5.0	OSHA Compliance	2

- Appendices
  A. Detailed XRF Testing Results
  B. Inspector/Assessor Certifications
  C. CDPH Form 8552 Lead Hazard Evaluation Report

#### 1.0 TESTING METHODOLOGY

Lead-based paint testing was conducted using portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrum analyzer, SciAps, X-550 pb. The X-550 pb is calibrated to measure the L-shell x-ray emissions of lead. The X-550 pb offers two modes of operations; the "quick" mode which is the preferred mode for most lead testing and the "timed" mode for industrial lead paint testing.

Lead-based paint testing was conducted in accordance with *Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Division 1, Chapter 8, Accreditation, Certification, and Work Practice in Lead Related Construction, Section 36000* and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Developments *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, Chapter 7 Lead-Based Paint Inspections*, as published in June 1995 and revised in 1997.

The purpose of this inspection is to identify surfaces which contain lead-based paint as per California regulations, the *HUD Guidelines and section 403 of the Toxic Substances Control Act*.

The state of California, HUD and the EPA currently define lead-based paint as a paint or other surface coating which contains lead equal to or greater than 1.0 milligrams of lead per square centimeter of surface area (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

XRF readings were taken using the "Quick" mode of the X-550 pb. The "Quick" mode measurements have no predetermined testing length, and automatically adjust to account for various types of substrates and materials densities. The precision of the XRF readings is proportional to the square root of the number of x-rays counted by the scanner. The longer the test, the higher the level of precision as compared against the set threshold level of  $1.0 \, \text{mg/cm}^2$ .

In the "quick" mode, the X-550 pb tests until a result is indicated as either positive or negative, compared to the threshold level based on the current precision of the test. Correction for paint matrix and substrate effects is performed automatically. The correction function is based on measurements performed by the manufacturer with NIST paint film standards laid over a variety of substrates typically encountered in construction.

Based on the XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS) jointly released by HUD and EPA (effective February 1, 2022), there is no inconclusive range and the Threshold is 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Results are classified as positive if they are at or greater than the threshold as listed. Results are classified as negative if they are less than the listed threshold. No substrate correction is required for testing using the "Quick" mode.

XRF readings were made on testing combinations in all room equivalents in an effort to test typical materials which are representative of the room equivalent. Testing combinations were tested non-destructively by holding the X-550 pb against the surface being tested. At each XRF sample location the X-550 pb shutter is opened, and one reading was made using the "Quick" testing mode. Results of each test were read from the digital display of the instrument console and recorded on the Detailed XRF Testing Results attached in Appendix A.

To ensure that the XRF equipment was working properly, various quality control tests were performed before, during and after the on-site work. At the beginning of the work day, three start up validation measurements were made in the "Quick" mode, using the calibration check standard associated with the particular X-550 pb that was used. This painted standard contains a known quantity of lead and allows the XRF operator to determine whether the instrument is functioning within acceptable tolerance ranges for accuracy and precision, as determined by the manufacturer.

In addition to the three starts up tests, calibration readings were taken on the red 1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Standard Reference Material (SRM) paint film, developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Results of each reading, along with computed readings averages were recorded on the XRF Calibration Form, and compared against the calibration tolerance range defined the X-550 pb PCS. This calibration check was also performed after four hours and at the end of the day. The quality control tests taken during testing at the subject property were within the acceptable performance range prescribed by the PCS and by the XRF equipment manufacturer. Documentation of the quality control calibration check is included in Appendix A, following the detailed testing data.

The XRF testing orientation and labeling are according to the HUD convention. The "A" side was initially assigned to the direction of the street (front of the house or entrance). Sides "B", "C", and "D" were assigned clockwise from the "A" side, starting to the left facing the house. The rooms follow the same orientation as the exterior and are in alignment with the exterior labels.

#### 2.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The property tested is a school with several buildings. The building exteriors consist of stucco and wood walls with metal and wood door and window systems. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time, in preparation for upcoming painting activities.

#### 3.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT FINDINGS

No lead-based paint was found at or above the threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> on the selected surfaces tested.

#### 4.0 CALIFORNIA STATE REQUIREMENTS

Allstate Services is required under California regulations (Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8) to notify California Department of Public Health that a lead hazard evaluation survey was conducted at the subject property.

Please see Appendix C for CDPH Form 8552, Lead Hazard Evaluation Report.

#### 5.0 OSHA COMPLIANCE

OSHA Regulations (Title 8 CCR Section 1532.1 and 29 CFR 1926.62) apply to all construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead, and therefore may be applicable to renovation or demolition projects involving paints with any concentration of lead.

There are many other building materials, which may contain lead in the average building. When conducting construction activities, which disturb lead in any amount or create an exposure to workers, the employer is required to provide worker protection and conduct exposure assessments. All employers should consult Federal OSHA Regulations at 29 CFR 1926.62 and Cal-OSHA Regulations at Title 8, 1532.1, "Lead in Construction" standards for complete requirements.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

# APPENDIX A DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

# DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS Northmont Elementary School

9405 Gregory Street, La Mesa, California 91942

	9403 Gregory Street, La Wesa, Camornia 91342										
		<b>B</b>	0.1								
	_	Room	Side	_				(mg/			
Sample		Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
1		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
2		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
3		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
4		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
5		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
6		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
7		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
8		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.3	Negative		
9		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	С	Utility Closet Door	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
10		Building 1 & 2 Exterior	C	Utility Closet Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
11		Building 3 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
12		Building 3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
13		Building 3 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
14		Building 3 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
15		Building 3 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
16		Building 3 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
17		Building 3 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
18		Building 3 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
19		Building 3 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
20		Building 3 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.3	Negative		
21		Building 4 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
22		Building 4 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
23		Building 4 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
24		Building 4 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
25		Building 4 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
26		Building 4 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
27		Building 4 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
28		Building 4 Exterior	C	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
29		Building 4 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
30		Building 4 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.3	Negative		
31		Building 5 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
32		Building 5 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
33		Building 5 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
34		Building 5 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
35		Building 5 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
36		Building 5 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
37		Building 5 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
38		Building 5 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
39		Building 5 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
40		Building 5 Exterior	D	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.3	Negative		
41		Building 6 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
42		Building 6 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
43	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		

Northmont Elementary School 9405 Gregory Street, La Mesa, California 91942

	9405 Gregory Street, La Mesa, California 91942										
								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
44	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
45	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
46	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
47	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
48	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
49	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Soffit	Stucco	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
50	Exterior	Building 6 Exterior	В	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.3	Negative		
51	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
52	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
53		Building 7 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
54		Building 7 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
55		Building 7 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
56		Building 7 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
57		Building 7 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.2	Negative		
58	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
59	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
60	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	D	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.3	Negative		
61	Exterior	Overhang Throughout Exterior	В	Support Post	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
62	Exterior	Overhang Throughout Exterior	В	Soffit	Stucco	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
63	Exterior	Overhang Throughout Exterior	В	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
64	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
65	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
66	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
67	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
68	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
69	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Beige	Intact	0.1	Negative		
70	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Soffit	Stucco	White	Intact	0.0	Negative		
71	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.3	Negative		
72	Exterior	Building P-1 Exterior	С	Rafter Tail	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
73	Exterior	Room 20 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
74	Exterior	Room 20 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
75	Exterior	Room 20 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
76	Exterior	Room 20 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
77	Exterior	Room 20 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
78	Exterior	Room 20 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
79	Exterior	Room 20 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
80	Exterior	Room 20 Exterior	С	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
81	Exterior	Room 21 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
82	Exterior	Room 21 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
83	Exterior	Room 21 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
84	Exterior	Room 21 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
85	Exterior	Room 21 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
86	Exterior	Room 21 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
87	Exterior	Room 21 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		

# DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS Northmont Elementary School

9405 Gregory Street, La Mesa, California 91942

								Lead			
Sample	Area	Room Equivalent	Side Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	(mg/ cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
88	Exterior	Room 21 Exterior	С	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
89	Exterior	Room 22 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
90	Exterior	Room 22 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
91	Exterior	Room 22 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
92	Exterior	Room 22 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
93	Exterior	Room 22 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
94	Exterior	Room 22 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
95	Exterior	Room 22 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
96	Exterior	Room 22 Exterior	С	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
97	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
98	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
99	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
100	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
101	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	D	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
102	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
103	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
104	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	D	Fascia	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
105	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	D	Ramp	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
106	Exterior	Room 23 Exterior	D	Railing	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

#### ALLSTATE SERVICES LLC. XRF CALIBRATION FORM

Address: _	Northmont Elementary School, 9405 Gregory Street, La Mesa, California 91942
Device: _	SciAps X-550
Date:	June 5, 2024
Inspector:	Nicholas Milano/Stacey J. Milano

# Calibration Check Tolerance Used: <u>0.8 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> - 1.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> (Inclusive)</u> **Use Level III (1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) NIST SRM Paint film**

Time: 9:06 a.m.

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Time: 4:30 p.m.

#### **First Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	1st Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

#### **Second Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

#### **Third Calibration Check (If Needed)**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

# APPENDIX B INSPECTOR CERTIFICATIONS



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

**CERTIFICATE TYPE:** 

**NUMBER:** 

**EXPIRATION DATE:** 

2

Lead Sampling Technician

LRC-00004942

4/10/2025

Nicholas Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

### INDIVIDUAL:



CERTIFICATE TYPE:

Lead Project Monitor Lead Project Designer Lead Inspector/Assessor Lead Supervisor

NUMBER:

EXPIRATION DATE:

LRC-00000085 LRC-00000084 5/3/2025 5/3/2025

LRC-00000083

5/3/2025

LRC-00000082

5/3/2025

Stacey Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD

# APPENDIX C CDPH FORM 8552 - LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT

## **LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT**

Section 1 — Date of Lead Hazard Evaluation 6/5/20	24					
Section 2 — Type of Lead Hazard Evaluation (Check	one box only)					
Lead Inspection Risk assessment C	Clearance Inspection 🗸 🤇	Other (specify) Limited Lead	Testing			
Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evaluation	on Was Conducted					
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	County	Zip Code			
Northmont Elementary School, 9405 Gregory Street	et La Mesa	San Diego	91942			
Construction date (year) of structure  Prior to 1978  Type of structure  Multi-unit building  Single family dwelling	✓ School or daycare  Other	Children living in structure'  Yes  Don't Know	?			
Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agency	List contact person)					
Name		Telephone number				
Contact: Western Environmental & Safety Tech		858-271-1842				
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	State	Zip Code			
2825 Carleton Street, #25	San Diego	California	92106			
		Camornia	02100			
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (che	eck all that apply)					
✓ No lead-based paint detected Intact lead  No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated d	-based paint detected ust found Lead-contar	Deteriorated lead-bas	-			
Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Eva	aluation					
Name		Telephone number				
Stacey J. Milano		619-255-1052				
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	State	Zip Code			
1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220	San Diego	California	92108			
CDPH certification number S	ignature		Date			
LRC-00000083		Milano	6/10/24			
Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals	conducting sampling or testing (	(if applicable)				
Nicholas Milano, Lead Sampling Ted	hnician #LRC-000	04942				
Section 7 — Attachments						
A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indication lead-based paint;     B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedur C. All data collected, including quality control data, laborated.	e used;	·				
First copy and attachments retained by inspector	Third copy only (no at	tachments) mailed or faxed to:				
Second copy and attachments retained by owner	Second copy and attachments retained by owner  California Department of Public Health Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Branch Reports 850 Marina Bay Parkway, Building P, Third Floor Richmond, CA 94804-6403 Fax: (510) 620-5656					



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

## LEAD-BASED PAINT TESTING REPORT

(a)

## ROLANDO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 6925 TOWER STREET LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 91942

# PREPARED FOR: MR. DAVE CHRISTY WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL & SAFETY TECHNOLOGY 2825 CARLETON STREET, #25 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92106

PREPARED BY:
STACEY J. MILANO
INSPECTOR/ASSESSOR
CERTIFICATION #LRC-00000083

**JUNE 10, 2024** 

## Professional Environmental Consulting and Training Asbestos Lead Mold/Healthy Homes



Working for a clean environment 1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 255-1052 info@allstate-services.com www.allstate-services.com

June 10, 2024

Mr. David Christy Western Environmental Services 2825 Carleton Street, #25 San Diego, California 92106

RE: Lead-based paint testing at Rolando Elementary School, 6925 Tower Street, La Mesa,

California 91942

Dear Mr. Christy:

In accordance with your request and authorization, Allstate Services LLC. conducted lead-based paint testing at Rolando Elementary School located at 6925 Tower Street in La Mesa, California on June 5, 2024. Nicholas Milano, a California Certified Lead Sampling Technician, under the direction of Stacey J. Milano, a California Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor, conducted the onsite work. Please note that only selected areas were tested at this time.

If you need any further assistance after reviewing your report, please do not hesitate to contact me. Allstate Services LLC remains available to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

Stacey J. Milano

Stacey Jmilano

CDPH Inspector/Assessor #LRC-00000083

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Desci	ription Pa	age
1.0	Testing Methodology	1
2.0	Building Description	2
3.0	Lead-Based Paint Findings	2
4.0	California State Requirements	2
5.0	Recommendations	2
6.0	OSHA Compliance	4

- Appendices
  A. Positive Summary Report
  B. Detailed XRF Testing Results
  C. Inspector/Assessor Certifications
  D. CDPH Form 8552 Lead Hazard Evaluation Report

### 1.0 TESTING METHODOLOGY

Lead-based paint testing was conducted using portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrum analyzer, SciAps, X-550 pb. The X-550 pb is calibrated to measure the L-shell x-ray emissions of lead. The X-550 pb offers two modes of operations; the "quick" mode which is the preferred mode for most lead testing and the "timed" mode for industrial lead paint testing.

Lead-based paint testing was conducted in accordance with *Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Division 1, Chapter 8, Accreditation, Certification, and Work Practice in Lead Related Construction, Section 36000* and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Developments *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, Chapter 7 Lead-Based Paint Inspections*, as published in June 1995 and revised in 1997.

The purpose of this inspection is to identify surfaces which contain lead-based paint as per California regulations, the *HUD Guidelines and section 403 of the Toxic Substances Control Act*.

The state of California, HUD and the EPA currently define lead-based paint as a paint or other surface coating which contains lead equal to or greater than 1.0 milligrams of lead per square centimeter of surface area (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

XRF readings were taken using the "Quick" mode of the X-550 pb. The "Quick" mode measurements have no predetermined testing length, and automatically adjust to account for various types of substrates and materials densities. The precision of the XRF readings is proportional to the square root of the number of x-rays counted by the scanner. The longer the test, the higher the level of precision as compared against the set threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

In the "quick" mode, the X-550 pb tests until a result is indicated as either positive or negative, compared to the threshold level based on the current precision of the test. Correction for paint matrix and substrate effects is performed automatically. The correction function is based on measurements performed by the manufacturer with NIST paint film standards laid over a variety of substrates typically encountered in construction.

Based on the XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS) jointly released by HUD and EPA (effective February 1, 2022), there is no inconclusive range and the Threshold is 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Results are classified as positive if they are at or greater than the threshold as listed. Results are classified as negative if they are less than the listed threshold. No substrate correction is required for testing using the "Quick" mode.

XRF readings were made on testing combinations in all room equivalents in an effort to test typical materials which are representative of the room equivalent. Testing combinations were tested non-destructively by holding the X-550 pb against the surface being tested. At each XRF sample location the X-550 pb shutter is opened, and one reading was made using the "Quick" testing mode. Results of each test were read from the digital display of the instrument console and recorded on the Detailed XRF Testing Results attached in Appendix B.

To ensure that the XRF equipment was working properly, various quality control tests were performed before, during and after the on-site work. At the beginning of the work day, three start up validation measurements were made in the "Quick" mode, using the calibration check standard associated with the particular X-550 pb that was used. This painted standard contains a known quantity of lead and allows the XRF operator to determine whether the instrument is functioning within acceptable tolerance ranges for accuracy and precision, as determined by the manufacturer.

In addition to the three starts up tests, calibration readings were taken on the red 1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Standard Reference Material (SRM) paint film, developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Results of each reading, along with computed readings averages were recorded on the XRF Calibration Form, and compared against the calibration tolerance range defined the X-550 pb PCS. This calibration check was also performed after four hours and at the end of the day. The quality control tests taken during testing at the subject property were within the acceptable performance range prescribed by the PCS and by the XRF equipment manufacturer. Documentation of the quality control calibration check is included in Appendix B, following the detailed testing data.

The XRF testing orientation and labeling are according to the HUD convention. The "A" side was initially assigned to the direction of the street (front of the house or entrance). Sides "B", "C", and "D" were assigned clockwise from the "A" side, starting to the left facing the house. The rooms follow the same orientation as the exterior and are in alignment with the exterior labels.

## 2.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The property tested is a school with several buildings. The building exteriors consist of stucco and wood walls with metal and wood door and window systems. Please note that only selected exterior areas were tested at this time, in preparation for upcoming painting activities.

## 3.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT FINDINGS

Lead-based paint was found at or above the threshold level of 1.0 mg/cm² on the following components:

• Exterior walls, window sashes and frames, door frames, soffit, and fascia

Please see Appendix A – Positive Summary Report for a complete list of positive components and specific locations.

Disruption of surfaces and removal of deteriorated paint should be carried out using approved lead-safe work practices. EPA's Renovation, Repair and Painting (RRP) regulations apply when disrupting surface area above de-minimis levels (6 square feet interior or 20 square feet exterior). According to California's Title 17 Regulations, lead-safe work practices are mandatory when disrupting any amount of lead-based paint.

If surfaces containing lead-based paint become deteriorated, they should be restored to an intact condition by surface preparation and painting in order to comply California Health and Safety Code 17920.10.

Note that if the intent is to permanently reduce a lead, the work would be categorized as abatement and an appropriately certified lead abatement contractor should be used. However, if the intent of the work were to improve the appearance of the surfaces, renovation, repairs or regular maintenance, then the work may be carried out by EPA certified renovators.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

## 4.0 CALIFORNIA STATE REQUIREMENTS

Allstate Services is required under California regulations (Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8) to notify California Department of Public Health that a lead hazard evaluation survey was conducted at the subject property.

Please see Appendix D for CDPH Form 8552, Lead Hazard Evaluation Report.

### 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

If this building undergoes renovation in the future, personnel performing the construction work should be properly trained in lead-related construction. California regulations define lead-related construction work as, "Construction, alteration, painting, demolition, salvage, renovation, repair, or maintenance of any residential, public or commercial building, including preparation and cleanup, which, by using or disturbing lead containing material or soil, may result in significant exposure of individuals to lead."

California has a certification process for lead related construction workers. To receive a list of certified individuals, you may contact the Lead Accreditation and Certification Unit Hotline at (800) 597-5323.

There are different methods of addressing lead hazards. These methods include:

<u>Abatement</u> -- A measure or set of measures designed to permanently eliminate lead-based paint hazards or lead-based paint. There are different methods of abatement:

Replacement: Removing the old component and installing a new non-lead

containing component. Replacement is best suited for components that are easily removed. This includes doors,

windows, trim, etc.

**Enclosure**: Covering a surface with a durable mechanically affixed,

dust tight material, such as drywall, paneling, aluminum siding, etc. Enclosure is best used on walls, ceilings,

floors, and some exterior components.

Removal: Removing the paint from the substrate. This is

accomplished by wet scraping, using power tools with special HEPA vacuum attachments, heat guns, and

chemical stripping either on or off site. Paint removal is best suited when a component is to be preserved or when a component cannot be easily replaced or enclosed. Leadbased paint encapsulant products must have a minimum of twenty years warranty.

**Encapsulation**:

The process that makes lead-based paint inaccessible by providing a barrier between the lead-based paint and the environment. This barrier is formed using a liquid applied coating or an adhesive bonded covering material. Encapsulation is best used on walls and ceilings. Please note that ordinary lead-free paint is not considered an encapsulation.

Interim Controls --A set of measures designed to temporarily reduce human exposure or likely exposure to lead-based paint hazards. Interim controls include specialized cleaning, repairs, maintenance, painting, and temporary containment, ongoing monitoring of lead-based paint hazards or potential hazards and the establishment and operation of management and resident education programs. Interim controls should be used only if full abatement is not feasible. Reducing the hazards can be accomplished by simply keeping the painted surfaces intact and through specialized cleaning methods. If abatement cannot take place soon, interim controls should be implemented and maintained until full abatement can be made.

As previously stated, any activities involving lead hazard control and/or lead abatement must be performed by certified individuals.

## 6.0 OSHA COMPLIANCE

OSHA Regulations (Title 8 CCR Section 1532.1 and 29 CFR 1926.62) apply to all construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead, and therefore may be applicable to renovation or demolition projects involving paints with any concentration of lead.

There are many other building materials, which may contain lead in the average building. When conducting construction activities, which disturb lead in any amount or create an exposure to workers, the employer is required to provide worker protection and conduct exposure assessments. All employers should consult Federal OSHA Regulations at 29 CFR 1926.62 and Cal-OSHA Regulations at Title 8, 1532.1, "Lead in Construction" standards for complete requirements.

Ceramic tile glaze is not classified as a paint or coating and is not considered a hazard if it is intact. However, precautions should be used if it is ever removed or demolished to avoid creating a lead dust hazard.

## APPENDIX A POSITIVE SUMMARY REPORT

## POSITIVE XRF SUMMARY REPORT

## Rolando Elementary School 6925 Tower Street, La Mesa, California 91942

Sample	Area	Room Equivalent	Side Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	Lead (mg/ cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
2		Building 1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
5	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
6	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	4 Each	
7		Building 1 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
8	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
10		Building 1 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.1	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
12		Building 2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	600 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
13		Building 2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
18		Building 2 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
19		Building 2 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
20		Building 2 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
22		Building 3 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.0	Positive	600 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
23		Building 3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
26		Building 3 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	3 Each	
27		Building 3 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	3 Each	
28		Building 3 Exterior	D	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
29		Building 3 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
30		Building 3 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
33		Building 4 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
37		Building 4 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	3 Each	
38		Building 4 Exterior	D	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
39		Building 4 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
40	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
43		MPR Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
47	Exterior	MPR Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	3 Each	
48		MPR Exterior	D	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
49	Exterior	MPR Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
50		MPR Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
52		Building 5 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.0	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
53		Building 5 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.0	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
55		Building 5 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.0	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
57		Building 5 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	3.6	Positive	6 Each	
58		Building 5 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	42 Each	
59		Building 5 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	42 Each	
61		Building 5 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.0	Positive	600 LF	
62		Building 6 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
63		Building 6 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
67		Building 6 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	3.1	Positive	6 Each	
68		Building 6 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	42 Each	
69		Building 6 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	42 Each	
71		Building 6 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.0	Positive	600 LF	
72		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
73		Building 7 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
**Quantity es	stimation	s of leaded materials are pro-	vided for budge	t considerations only and s	hould be verified ons	ite by bidde	rs.				

## POSITIVE XRF SUMMARY REPORT

## Rolando Elementary School

6925 Tower Street, La Mesa, California 91942

					, ,		_				
								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm²)	Results	Quantity	Comments
77	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	3.1	Positive	6 Each	
78	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	42 Each	
79	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	42 Each	
81	Exterior	Building 7 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.0	Positive	600 LF	
**Quantity es	**Quantity estimations of leaded materials are provided for budget considerations only and should be verified onsite by bidders.										

## APPENDIX B DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS

## DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS Rolando Elementary School

6925 Tower Street, La Mesa, California 91942

								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm <sup>2</sup> )	Results	Quantity	Comments
1		Building 1 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
2	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
3	Exterior	Building 1 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
4		Building 1 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
5		Building 1 Exterior	С	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	4 Each	
6		Building 1 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	4 Each	
7		Building 1 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
8		Building 1 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
9		Building 1 Exterior	С	Overhang Post	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
10		Building 1 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.1	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
11		Building 1 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.9	Negative		
12		Building 2 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	600 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
13		Building 2 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
14		Building 2 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
15		Building 2 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
16 17		Building 2 Exterior Building 2 Exterior	C	Door Frame	Wood Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
18		Building 2 Exterior	C	Door Frame Window Sash	Metal	Beige Beige	Intact Intact	0.0 1.4	Negative Positive	16 Each	
19		Building 2 Exterior	C	Window Sash Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
20		Building 2 Exterior	C	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
21		Building 2 Exterior	C	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.9	Negative	20011	
22		Building 3 Exterior	A	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.0	Positive	600 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
23		Building 3 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
24		Building 3 Exterior	C	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative	10011	
25		Building 3 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
26		Building 3 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.3	Positive	3 Each	
27		Building 3 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	3 Each	
28		Building 3 Exterior	D	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
29		Building 3 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
30	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
31	Exterior	Building 3 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.9	Negative		
32	Exterior	Building 4 Exterior	А	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.7	Negative		
33		Building 4 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
34		Building 4 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
35		Building 4 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
36		Building 4 Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
37		Building 4 Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	3 Each	
38		Building 4 Exterior	D	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
39		Building 4 Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
40		Building 4 Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
41		Building 4 Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.9	Negative		
42		MPR Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
43	Exterior	MPR Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	

## DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS Rolando Elementary School

6925 Tower Street, La Mesa, California 91942

								Lead			
		Room	Side					(mg/			
Sample	Area	Equivalent	Tested	Component	Substrate	Color	Condition	cm <sup>2</sup> )	Results	Quantity	Comments
44	Exterior	MPR Exterior	С	Wall -	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
45	Exterior	MPR Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
46	Exterior	MPR Exterior	D	Door	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
47	Exterior	MPR Exterior	D	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	3 Each	
48	Exterior	MPR Exterior	D	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.4	Positive	16 Each	
49	Exterior	MPR Exterior	D	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	16 Each	
50	Exterior	MPR Exterior	D	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	1.3	Positive	200 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
51		MPR Exterior	D	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	0.9	Negative		
52	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.0	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
53	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.0	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
54		Building 5 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
55		Building 5 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.0	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
56		Building 5 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
57		Building 5 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	3.6	Positive	6 Each	
58		Building 5 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.8	Positive	42 Each	
59	Exterior	Building 5 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	42 Each	
60		Building 5 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	0.9	Negative		
61		Building 5 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.0	Positive	600 LF	
62		Building 6 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
63		Building 6 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
64		Building 6 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
65		Building 6 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	8.0	Negative		
66		Building 6 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
67		Building 6 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	3.1	Positive	6 Each	
68		Building 6 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	42 Each	
69		Building 6 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	42 Each	
70		Building 6 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	0.9	Negative		
71		Building 6 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.0	Positive	600 LF	
72		Building 7 Exterior	Α	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.2	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
73		Building 7 Exterior	В	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	1.1	Positive	400 Ft <sup>2</sup>	
74		Building 7 Exterior	С	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.9	Negative		
75		Building 7 Exterior	D	Wall	Stucco	Beige	Intact	0.8	Negative		
76		Building 7 Exterior	С	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
77		Building 7 Exterior	С	Door Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	3.1	Positive	6 Each	
78		Building 7 Exterior	С	Window Sash	Metal	Beige	Intact	1.7	Positive	42 Each	
79		Building 7 Exterior	С	Window Frame	Wood	Beige	Intact	1.3	Positive	42 Each	
80		Building 7 Exterior	С	Soffit	Wood	White	Intact	0.9	Negative		
81		Building 7 Exterior	С	Fascia	Wood	Blue	Intact	1.0	Positive	600 LF	
82		Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
83		Building P-2 Exterior	В	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
84		Building P-2 Exterior	С	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
85		Building P-2 Exterior	D	Wall	Wood	Beige	Intact	0.0	Negative		
86		Building P-2 Exterior	A	Door	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		
87	Exterior	Building P-2 Exterior	Α	Door Frame	Metal	Blue	Intact	0.0	Negative		

### DETAILED XRF TESTING RESULTS Rolando Elementary School 6925 Tower Street, La Mesa, California 91942 Lead (mg/ Room Side Sample Area Equivalent Tested Component Substrate Color Condition cm²) Results Quantity Comments Negative Negative Exterior Building P-2 Exterior 88 Α Soffit Metal Beige Intact 0.0 89 Exterior Building P-2 Exterior Fascia Metal Blue Intact 0.0 Α

## ALLSTATE SERVICES LLC. XRF CALIBRATION FORM

Address: Rolando Elementary School, 6925 Tower Street La Mesa, California 91942

Device: SciAps X-550

Date: June 5, 2024

Inspector: Nicholas Milano/Stacey J. Milano

## Calibration Check Tolerance Used: <u>0.8 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> - 1.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> (Inclusive)</u> **Use Level III (1.02 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) NIST SRM Paint film**

Time: 9:06 a.m.

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Time: 4:30 p.m.

## **First Calibration Check**

 1st Reading
 2nd Reading
 3rd Reading
 1st Average

 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0

## **Second Calibration Check**

1 <sup>st</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

## Third Calibration Check (If Needed)

1st Reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> Average
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

## APPENDIX C INSPECTOR CERTIFICATIONS



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

**CERTIFICATE TYPE:** 

**NUMBER:** 

**EXPIRATION DATE:** 

2

Lead Sampling Technician

LRC-00004942

4/10/2025

Nicholas Milano

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



## LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

### INDIVIDUAL:



## CERTIFICATE TYPE:

Lead Project Monitor Lead Project Designer Lead Inspector/Assessor Lead Supervisor

### NUMBER:

### EXPIRATION DATE: 5/3/2025 LRC-00000085 5/3/2025 LRC-00000084 5/3/2025 LRC-00000083

LRC-00000082

5/3/2025

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD

# APPENDIX D CDPH FORM 8552 - LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT

## **LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT**

Section 1 — Date of Lead Hazard Evaluation 6/5/202	24							
Section 2 — Type of Lead Hazard Evaluation (Check	one box only)							
Lead Inspection Risk assessment C	learance Inspection 🗸 Oth	ner (specify) <u>Limited Lead</u>	Testing					
Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evaluatio	n Was Conducted							
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City County Zip Code								
Rolando Elementary School, 6925 Tower Street	et La Mesa	San Diego	91942					
Construction date (year) Type of structure		Children living in structure?	)					
of structure Multi-unit building	✓ School or daycare	Yes Vo						
Prior to 1978 Single family dwelling	Other	Don't Know						
Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agency	list contact person)							
Name	Te	lephone number						
Contact: Western Environmental & Safety Tech.	C/O Mr. Dave Christy 8	58-271-1842						
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	State	Zip Code					
2825 Carleton Street, #25	San Diego	California	92106					
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (che	ck all that apply)		1					
No lead-based paint detected ✓ Intact lead- No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated di	based paint detected  ust found Lead-contamin	Deteriorated lead-base ated soil found Othe						
Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Eva	luation							
Name		elephone number						
Stacey J. Milano	6	19-255-1052						
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)]	City	State	Zip Code					
1545 Hotel Circle South, Suite 220	San Diego	California	92108					
CDPH certification number S	ignature	7.4.0	Date					
LRC-00000083	Stacey (	J. Milano	6/10/24					
Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals of	conducting sampling or testing (if	applicable)						
Nicholas Milano, Lead Sampling Tec	<mark>hnician #LRC-0000</mark>	4942						
Section 7 — Attachments								
A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicated lead-based paint;     B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure C. All data collected, including quality control data, laborated.	e used;							
First copy and attachments retained by inspector	First copy and attachments retained by inspector Third copy only (no attachments) mailed or faxed to:							
Gecond copy and attachments retained by owner  California Department of Public Health Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Branch Reports 850 Marina Bay Parkway, Building P, Third Floor Birchmond, CA 94804-6403								

Fax: (510) 620-5656